# SPITZER LIMITS ON DUST EMISSION AND OPTICAL GAS ABSORPTION VARIABILITY AROUND NEARBY STARS WITH EDGE-ON CIRCUMSTELLAR DISK SIGNATURES

SETH REDFIELD,<sup>1</sup> JACQUELINE E. KESSLER-SILACCI,<sup>2</sup> AND LUCAS A. CIEZA

Department of Astronomy and McDonald Observatory, University of Texas, Austin, TX 78712; sredfield@astro.as.utexas.edu Received 2006 December 4; accepted 2007 March 2

# ABSTRACT

We present Spitzer infrared spectroscopic and photometric observations and McDonald Observatory Smith Telescope and AAT high spectral resolution optical observations of four nearby stars with variable or anomalous optical absorption, likely caused by circumstellar material. The optical observations of Ca II and Na I cover a 2.8 yr baseline and extend the long-term monitoring of these systems by previous researchers. In addition, minisurveys of the LISM around our primary targets provide a reconstruction of the intervening LISM along the line of sight. We confirm that the anomalous absorption detected toward  $\alpha$  Oph is not due to circumstellar material, but to a small filamentary cloud <14.3 pc from the Sun. The three other primary targets,  $\beta$  Car, HD 85905, and HR 10, show both short- and long-term variability, and little of the observed absorption can be attributed to the LISM along the line of sight. The Spitzer photometry and spectroscopy did not detect infrared excesses. For the three disk stars, we fit the maximum hypothetical infrared excess that is consistent with observed upper limits. We place upper limits on any possible fractional infrared luminosity,  $L_{\rm IR}/L_{\star} < (2-5) \times 10^{-6}$ . These fractional luminosities are significantly less than that found toward  $\beta$  Pic but comparable to other debris disks. No stable gas absorption component centered at the radial velocity of the star is detected, consistent with no infrared excess detections. Based on simple assumptions of the variable gas absorption component, we estimate limits on the circumstellar gas mass causing the variable absorption:  $(0.4-20) \times$  $10^{-8} M_{\oplus}$ . These multiwavelength observations place strong limits on any possible circumstellar dust, while confirming variable circumstellar gas absorption, and therefore are interesting targets to explore the origins and evolution of variable circumstellar gas.

Subject headings: circumstellar matter — infrared: stars — ISM: structure — line: profiles — stars: early-type — stars: individual ( $\alpha$  Oph,  $\beta$  Car, HD 85905, HR 10)

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The presence of circumstellar gas around mature stars presents an interesting diagnostic of the formation and evolution of stars and their immediate environments. A collection of "shell" stars, including stars that exhibit strong and sharp absorption features (e.g., in Ca II) and sometimes hydrogen emission lines (i.e., Be stars), include many examples of stars in which gas from the stellar atmosphere is deposited in the circumstellar environment via winds (Slettebak 1988; Porter & Rivinius 2003). Slettebak (1975) identified  $\beta$  Pic as an object that displayed strong and sharp absorption in Ca II that they postulated might be circumstellar. Indeed, observations with the Infrared Astronomical Satellite (IRAS) led to the discovery of dust disks around  $\beta$  Pic ( $L_{dust}/L_{\star} \sim 3 \times$  $10^{-3}$ ; Backman & Paresce 1993) and other nearby stars, including Vega,  $\epsilon$  Eri, and  $\alpha$  PsA (Aumann 1985). The gas and dust in the circumstellar environment of  $\beta$  Pic have been shown to be distributed in an edge-on disk by Brandeker et al. (2004) and Smith & Terrile (1984), respectively. Although  $\beta$  Pic shares some diagnostic characteristics of shell stars, it has become clear that its observed gas and dust are the secondary products left from the final stages of stellar formation, which has resulted in a debris disk. Therefore, two possible mechanisms exist for depositing substantial amounts of circumstellar gas: one is associated with debris disks and stellar formation, and the other with shell stars and stellar winds.

In the process of studying the properties of the circumstellar gas in the dusty edge-on disk surrounding  $\beta$  Pic, high-resolution

optical (Ca II and Na I) spectra showed night-to-night absorptionline variability, evidence of a variable circumstellar gas component located close to the star (Hobbs et al. 1985; Vidal-Madjar et al. 1986). Of the four major dust disks discovered by *IRAS* (i.e.,  $\beta$  Pic, Vega,  $\alpha$  PsA, and  $\epsilon$  Eri), only  $\beta$  Pic shows Ca II absorption line variability.  $\beta$  Pic is also the only one to have an edge-on orientation, which allows for a detectable optical depth along the line of sight through the midplane of the circumstellar disk and may explain why it is the only one of the four major dust disks to show gas-phase absorption. Indeed, if the three-dimensional density profile of Na I in the disk around  $\beta$  Pic, derived by Brandeker et al. (2004), is observed from inclinations consistent with the other three major dust disks, the resulting observable Na I column density falls well below the threshold of detectability.

The formation of stars and planets appears to necessarily include the construction (and subsequent dispersal) of disks comprised of gas and dust. Therefore, it is likely that every star has experienced a transitory phase in which the secondary gas and dust products of stellar and planetary formation lead to a debris disk. Understanding the process of disk formation, evolution, and dissipation is critical to placing known stellar and planetary systems, including our own, into context. Observations with the Spitzer Space Telescope are finding much fainter debris disks than observed toward  $\beta$  Pic, around much older stars. Spitzer has detected dusty material in stars similar in age to the Sun, with  $L_{\text{dust}}/L_{\star} \sim 3 \times 10^{-6}$  (e.g., Chen et al. 2005, 2006; Silverstone et al. 2006; Beichman et al. 2006), whereas our solar system zodiacal dust emits  $\sim 10^{-7} L_{\odot}$ . In particular, Su et al. (2006) find that such disks are quite common, with  $\sim \frac{1}{3}$  of a sample of  $\sim 160$  A stars showing infrared (IR) excesses due to a debris disk.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hubble Fellow.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Spitzer Fellow.

HD	Other Name	Spectral Type	<i>m<sub>V</sub></i> (mag)	$\frac{v_R}{(\mathrm{km}~\mathrm{s}^{-1})}$	$v \sin i$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	l (deg)	b (deg)	Distance <sup>a</sup> (pc)	Distance to LB Boundary <sup>b</sup> (pc)
159561	$\alpha$ Oph	A5 III	2.10	12.6	228	35.9	22.6	$14.32_{-0.18}^{+0.18}$	~55
80007	$\beta$ Car	A2 IV	1.70	-5	145	286.0	-14.4	$34.08_{-0.54}^{+0.55}$	$\sim 85$
85905	HR 3921	A2/A3 III	6.23	16 <sup>c</sup>	264	257.8	24.5	$140^{+15}_{-13}$	$\sim 120$
256	HR 10	A2 IV/V	6.23	$-10.8^{d}$	241	74.36	-75.9	$160_{-19}^{+25}$	>250

TABLE 1 Stellar Properties of Primary Targets

Note.-All values from SIMBAD unless otherwise noted.

<sup>a</sup> Distances calculated from *Hipparcos* parallaxes.

<sup>b</sup> Lallement et al. (2003).

<sup>c</sup> This work.

<sup>d</sup> Grenier et al. (1999).

If we use  $\beta$  Pic as a prototypical debris disk, the structure of dust and gas in the circumstellar disk can be characterized by three distinct components: (1) the large-scale bulk dust disk, which causes an IR excess (e.g., Aumann 1985) and scattered light emission (e.g., Smith & Terrile 1984); (2) the large-scale bulk gas disk, which causes a stable gas absorption feature (Brandeker et al. 2004); and (3) the variable gas component of the disk, which is located very close to the star and causes gas absorption line variability over short (e.g., night to night) timescales (e.g., Petterson & Tobin 1999). For reviews of the various properties of all the components of the  $\beta$  Pic debris disk, see Zuckerman (2001), Lagrange et al. (2000), and Vidal-Madjar et al. (1998).

The source of the variable gas absorption component of the  $\beta$  Pic disk has received particular attention. Long Ca II monitoring campaigns of  $\beta$  Pic (e.g., Petterson & Tobin 1999) support the theory that the short-term spectral variability is due to gas clouds caused by evaporating, star-grazing, kilometer-sized, cometary-like bodies, simply referred to as falling evaporating bodies (FEBs; Thébault & Beust 2001; Beust 1994). The dynamics and frequency of these events, potentially originating from a mean motion resonance with a giant planet, can constrain the structure of the disk and even the geometry of a young planetary system (Beust & Morbidelli 2000).

No detailed study, comparable to the work on  $\beta$  Pic, on the variability of gas absorption in an edge-on disk toward a shell or Be star has been made to date, despite the fact that gas may be deposited irregularly in the circumstellar environment of rapidly rotating, early-type stars via weak stellar winds, like a scaled-down version of the disks that form around Be stars. Abt et al. (1997) describe three epochs of observations toward shell stars taken over a 20 yr baseline and note the long-term variations in the strength of the gas absorption. In order to understand the origins and structure of circumstellar gas around mature stars, it is critical to increase the sample of known edge-on circumstellar gas absorption systems and measure as comprehensively as possible the properties of gas and any dust in the circumstellar environment.

Researchers have tried to find other circumstellar gas disks or  $\beta$  Pic–like systems (i.e., edge-on debris disks) through IR excesses from *IRAS* (Cheng et al. 1992) and Ca II variability (Lagrange-Henri et al. 1990b; Holweger et al. 1999). To date, several other main-sequence edge-on circumstellar disk systems have been studied, including  $\beta$  Car (Lagrange-Henri et al. 1990b; Hempel & Schmitt 2003), HD 85905 (Welsh et al. 1998), HR 10 (Lagrange-Henri et al. 1990a; Welsh et al. 1998), AU Mic (Kalas et al. 2004; Roberge et al. 2005), and HD 32297 (Schneider et al. 2005; Redfield 2007). We selected three of the Ca II and Na I variable objects (i.e.,  $\beta$  Car, HD 85905, and HR 10) together with  $\alpha$  Oph, which has an anomalously high absorption signature in these ions (Crawford 2001), to study any gas and dust in their circumstellar environments. We monitored their optical absorption properties to probe the stable and variable components of the gas disk (see § 3.1). Observations of their IR emission were also made in order to look for any excess due to a dusty debris disk (see § 4).

In addition, we conducted minisurveys of a handful of stars in close angular proximity to our program stars to look for absorption due to intervening interstellar gas. Measuring the interstellar medium (ISM) along the line of sight and in the locality directly surrounding a circumstellar disk candidate is critical to reconstructing the distribution of possible "contaminating" ISM absorption (Crawford 2001; Redfield 2007). In particular, the Sun resides in a large-scale structure known as the Local Bubble, whose boundary at ~100 pc is defined by a significant quantity of interstellar material (Lallement et al. 2003). These minisurveys allow us to differentiate between a stable circumstellar absorption component and an interstellar absorption feature (see § 3.2).

Our program stars are all rapidly rotating and therefore likely close to edge-on (i.e., their  $v \sin i$  places them on the high-velocity tail of the distribution of predicted equatorial rotational velocities, and therefore sin  $i \sim 1$ ; Abt & Morrell 1995). They are relatively mature systems, with ages of several hundreds of millions of years, based on isochronal fitting (see § 4). Although they are older than  $\beta$  Pic, which is  $\sim 12$  Myr, they are comparable in age to other stars with debris disks, such as Vega and  $\alpha$  PsA (Barrado y Navascues 1998), as well as our solar system during the Late Heavy Bombardment (Gomes et al. 2005). Therefore, with evidence that these systems have an edge-on orientation, and with ages consistent with the final stages of planetary system formation, our program stars are inviting targets with which to make observations of secondary gas and dust products that may still reside in their circumstellar environments.

# 2. OBSERVATIONS

Our sample was determined with the intent to investigate  $\beta$  Pic–like systems, that is, edge-on circumstellar disks that are in the evolutionary transition period of clearing their dusty debris disks. These edge-on transitional systems provide an opportunity to probe properties of both the dust, via IR spectral energy distributions (SEDs), and gas, through atomic absorption lines. We selected four systems (see Table 1) that were suspected of having gas disks, from absorption-line variability on timescales of days to years, or anomalous Ca II absorption features that have been

						$v_{\rm atm}{}^{\rm c}$	Exposure	
HD	Other Name	Date	Telescope <sup>a</sup>	Instrument <sup>b</sup>	Ion	$(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	(s)	S/N
159561	$\alpha$ Oph	2003 Oct 6	McD2.7	CS12	Са п		3600	42
		2004 Apr 7	McD2.7	CS12	Са п		2400	36
		2004 Aug 27	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		1800	227
		2004 Aug 27	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		1800	112
		2004 Aug 28	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		1800	263
		2004 Aug 28	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		1800	136
		2004 Aug 29	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		1800	115
		2004 Aug 30	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		1800	156
		2004 Oct 18	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		1200	245
		2005 Feb 14	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		900	118
		2005 Feb 15	McD2.7	CS21	Сап		1800	126
		2005 Feb 15	McD2.7	CS21	Na i	+22.1	2400	160
		2005 Feb 28	AAT3 9	UHRF	Nau	+23.7	2400	123
		2005 Mar 14	McD2 7	CS21	Сац	123.1	600	76
		2005 Mar 14	McD2.7	CS21	Сан		1200	181
		2005 Mar 16	McD2.7	CS21	Na I	+24.2	1200	336
		2005 Mar 17	McD2.7	CS21	Сан	127.2	1200	201
		2005 Mar 17	MoD2.7	CS21	Nor	 +24.2	600	201
		2005 Mar 17	McD2.7	CS21	INA I Co. H	+24.2	2400	230
		2005 Mar 22	McD2.7	CS12	Call	•••	2400	27
		2005 Mar 22	McD2.7	CS12	Ca II		1200	27
		2005 Mar 25	MCD2./		Ca II		1800	19
		2005 Jun 12	AA13.9	UHRF	Сап		3600	52
		2005 Jun 14	AA13.9	UHRF	Na I	-0.4	2700	57
		2005 Jun 15	AA13.9	UHRF	Сап	•••	6000	58
		2005 Jul 15	McD2.7	CS21	Сап	•••	600	143
		2005 Jul 18	McD2.7	CS12	Сап		2700	31
		2005 Jul 19	McD2.7	CS12	Na I	-13.7	2700	66
		2005 Aug 18	AA13.9	UHRF	Сап		2400	60
		2005 Sep 11	McD2.7	CS12	Сап		1200	33
		2005 Sep 14	McD2.7	CS21	Сап		600	167
		2006 Feb 13	McD2.7	CS12	Сап		3600	19
		2006 Feb 14	McD2.7	CS21	Сап		1200	138
		2006 Feb 14	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	+21.9	900	359
		2006 Jul 6	AAT3.9	UHRF	Сап		2400	33
80007	$\beta$ Car	2005 Feb 25	AAT3.9	UHRF	Сап		2400	144
		2005 Feb 26	AAT3.9	UHRF	Са п		2400	152
		2005 Feb 26	AAT3.9	UHRF	Na 1	+23.5	1200	111
		2005 Feb 28	AAT3.9	UHRF	Са п		1200	105
		2005 Feb 28	AAT3.9	UHRF	Na 1	+23.7	1800	103
		2005 Mar 1	AAT3.9	UHRF	Na 1	+23.8	1200	124
		2005 Jun 12	AAT3.9	UHRF	Са п		2400	103
		2005 Jun 15	AAT3.9	UHRF	Са п		2700	112
		2005 Jun 15	AAT3.9	UHRF	Na 1	-0.6	2700	214
		2005 Aug 16	AAT3.9	UHRF	Са п		1500	65
		2006 Jul 5	AAT3.9	UHRF	Са п		1200	102
85905	HR 3921	2004 Dec 2	McD2.7	CS23	Са II, Na I	+28.2	1800	41, 224
		2004 Dec 6	McD2.7	CS23	Са п, Na 1	+27.5	1800	46, 237
		2005 Feb 14	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		2700	30
		2005 Feb 14	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	-1.5	1800	55
		2005 Feb 26	AAT3.9	UHRF	Са п		3600	17
		2005 Mar 1	AAT3.9	UHRF	Са п		3600	17
		2005 Mar 1	AAT3.9	UHRF	Na 1	-8.7	3600	37
		2005 Mar 14	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		2700	28
		2005 Mar 14	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	-15.1	2700	44
		2005 Mar 16	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	-16.0	2400	51
		2005 Mar 17	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		4500	44
		2005 Mar 17	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	-16.4	2700	60
		2005 Jun 15	AAT3.9	UHRF	Na 1	-24.8	4800	27
		2006 Feb 14	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		3600	17
		2006 Feb 14	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	-1.4	2400	97

 TABLE 2
 Observational Parameters for Primary Stars

HD	Other Name	Date	Telescope <sup>a</sup>	Instrument <sup>b</sup>	Ion	$v_{\rm atm}^{}^{\rm c}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	Exposure (s)	S/N
256	HR 10	2004 Aug 27	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		2700	30
		2004 Aug 27	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	+15.4	2700	103
		2004 Aug 28	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		900	13
		2004 Aug 28	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	+15.0	2700	97
		2004 Oct 18	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		5400	31
		2004 Oct 18	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	-9.1	2700	94
		2004 Oct 19	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	-9.6	2700	131
		2004 Oct 20	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	-10.0	2700	102
		2005 Jun 15	AAT3.9	UHRF	Са п		5400	10
		2005 Jul 15	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	+27.3	1200	56
		2005 Sep 14	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		3600	54
		2005 Sep 14	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	+7.5	2400	90

TABLE 2—Continued

<sup>a</sup> McD2.7: the Harlan J. Smith 2.7 m Telescope at McDonald Observatory; AAT3.9: the Anglo-Australian 3.9 m Telescope at the Anglo-Australian Observatory. <sup>b</sup> CS12: Coudé double-pass Spectrometer ( $R \sim 500,000$ ); CS21: Cross-Dispersed Echelle Spectrometer (2D Coudé) Focus 1 ( $R \sim 240,000$ ); CS23: Cross-Dispersed

Echelle Spectrometer (2D Coudé) Focus 3 ( $R \sim 60,000$ ); UHRF: Ultra High Resolution Facility ( $R \sim 1,000,000$ ). <sup>c</sup> Projected velocity of the Earth's atmosphere at time of observation. Occasionally, atmospheric Na 1 is detected in high-S/N spectra and can contaminate the cir-

cumstellar or interstellar absorption feature. No atmospheric lines fall near the Ca II feature.

difficult to attribute solely to local interstellar medium (LISM) absorption. Three of the four targets (HR 10, HD 85905, and  $\beta$  Car) show Ca II and/or Na I absorption variability (Lagrange-Henri et al. 1990a; Welsh et al. 1998; Hempel & Schmitt 2003). The fourth target,  $\alpha$  Oph, has an anomalously large Ca II column density compared to observations of other nearby stars (Redfield & Linsky 2002). Crawford (2001) observed eight angularly close stars and detected Ca II absorption in two stars that were significantly more distant (120–211 pc) than  $\alpha$  Oph (14.3 pc), leaving open the possibility that the absorption toward  $\alpha$  Oph is circumstellar in origin. None of our targets had significant IR excess detections with *IRAS*, although these observations were not sensitive enough to reach the stellar photospheres.

Our observational strategy included (1) continued high-resolution optical spectroscopy of our primary targets to monitor the short-term variability of atomic absorption lines (§ 3.1), (2) observations of several stars close in angle and distance to our primary targets in order to reconstruct the LISM absorption profile along the line of sight and to be able to distinguish between interstellar absorption and a stable circumstellar feature (§ 3.2), and (3) *Spitzer* observations of the IR SED to search for excess emission from dust and bulk gas emission lines in a circumstellar disk (§§ 4 and 5).

# 2.1. Optical Spectroscopy

High-resolution optical spectra were obtained using the coudé spectrometers on the 2.7 m Harlan J. Smith Telescope at McDonald Observatory and the Ultra High Resolution Facility (UHRF) on the 3.9 m Anglo-Australian Telescope (AAT) at the Anglo-Australian Observatory (AAO). Observations began in 2003 October and continued until 2006 July, a temporal baseline of 2.8 yr. The observational parameters for our primary targets are given in Table 2 and stars proximate to our primary targets in Table 3. During this interval, repeated observations of our primary targets monitored absorption variability on timescales from days to years, and observations of close neighbors surveyed the spatial and radial variations in the ISM around our primary targets. Two atomic doublets were monitored: Ca II H and K (3968.4673 and 3933.6614 Å, respectively) and Na I  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  (5895.9242 and 5889.9510 Å, respectively). These are among the strongest transitions in the optical wavelength band, appropriate for observing absorption toward nearby stars (Redfield 2006).

The McDonald spectra were obtained with a range of resolving powers. High-resolution spectra ( $R \equiv \lambda / \Delta \lambda \sim 400,000$ ) were obtained using the CS12 double-pass configuration (Tull 1972). The detector was TK4, a  $1024 \times 1024$  Tektronix CCD chip, with 24  $\mu$ m pixels. The resolution was confirmed using the HeNe laser line at 6328 Å, at R = 520,000. Only a single order falls on the detector, and therefore the spectral range in this configuration is very small,  $\sim 1.4$  Å near Ca II at 3934 Å, and  $\sim$ 2.0 Å near Na 1 at 5896 Å, too small to observe both transitions in the doublet simultaneously. We also utilized the 2dcoudé spectrograph (Tull et al. 1995) in both the CS21 configuration  $(R \sim 240,000)$  and the CS23 configuration  $(R \sim 60,000)$ . The detector was TK3, a  $2048 \times 2048$  Tektronix CCD chip, with 24  $\mu$ m pixels. The resolutions were confirmed using the HeNe laser line at 6328 Å, at R = 210,000 for CS21 and R = 70,000for CS23. The spectral range for CS21 near the Ca II doublet (3934 and 3968 Å) is  $\sim$ 570 Å with  $\sim$ 30 Å gaps between orders, and near the Na I doublet (5890 and 5896 Å) it is  $\sim$ 2800 Å with  $\sim 130$  Å gaps between orders. In either configuration both lines of the doublet (of either Ca II or Na I) can be observed simultaneously.

The AAO spectra were obtained with the highest resolving power available ( $R \sim 940,000$ ), using the UHRF spectrograph (Diego et al. 1995). The detector was EEV2, a 2048 × 4096 CCD chip, with 15 µm pixels. The resolution was confirmed using the HeNe laser line at 6328 Å, at R = 1,090,000. Only a single order falls on the detector, but due to the large chip size, the spectral range is ~4.8 Å near Ca II at 3934 Å, and ~7.2 Å near Na I at 5896 Å, although again, too small to easily observe both transitions in either the Ca II or Na I doublets simultaneously. By utilizing an image slicer (Diego 1993), the throughput is significantly better than single-slit high-resolution spectrographs.

The data were reduced using Image Reduction and Analysis Facility (IRAF; Tody 1993) and Interactive Data Language (IDL) routines to subtract the bias, flat-field the images, remove scattered light and cosmic-ray contamination, extract the echelle orders, calibrate the wavelength solution, and convert to heliocentric velocities. Wavelength calibration images were taken using a Th-Ar hollow cathode before and after each scientific target.

The extracted one-dimensional spectra were then normalized using fits of low-order polynomials to regions of the continuum free of interstellar and telluric absorption lines. Numerous water

TABLE 3 OBSERVATIONAL PARAMETERS FOR STARS IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO PRIMARY TARGETS

НЪ	Other Name	Data	Telescope <sup>a</sup>	Instrument <sup>b</sup>	Ion	$v_{\text{atm}}^{c}$	Exposure	S/M
	Outer Ivallie	Date	relescope	msuument	1011		(8)	5/ IN
165777	72 Oph	2004 Aug 27	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		2700	147
		2004 Oct 20	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	-22.4	1200	59
		2005 Jun 15	AAT3.9	UHRF	Сап		3300	23
		2005 Sep 9	McD2.7	CS12	Са п		7200	19
160910	HR 6594	2004 Aug 28	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		1800	28
		2005 Jul 16	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	-11.5	1200	54
159332	HR 6541	2005 Jul 17	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	-12.3	1200	50
154494	60 Her	2004 Oct 20	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		1200	16
		2004 Oct 20	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	-17.2	1200	41
		2005 Jun 30	McD2.7	CS23	Са п, Na 1	-10.3	1800	172, 826
		2005 Jul 19	McD2.7	CS12	Na 1	-16.7	3600	10
		2005 Sep 14	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	-23.8	600	76
		2005 Sep 14	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		1800	55
		2006 Jul 3	AAT3.9	UHRF	Са п		7200	27
154228	HR 6341	2005 Jun 12	AAT3.9	UHRF	Са п		4800	10
		2005 Jul 16	McD2.7	CS21	Сал		1800	46
		2005 Jul 16	McD2 7	CS21	Nau	-15.8	1800	99
71243	$\alpha$ Cha	2005 Jun 14	A AT3 9	UHRF	Nau	+28.2	3600	12
/12-13	a chu	2005 Jul 14	A AT3 9	UHRE	Сал	120.2	3300	12
78045	o Vol	2000 Jul 3 2005 Feb 26	AAT3 9	UHRE	Сап		2700	32
/8045		2005 Feb 26	AAT2 0	ULIDE	Ca II	14.0	2700	32
82044	m Cor	2005 Feb 20	AAT2 0	UHRF	INA I Co. H	-14.9	2700	47
03944	iii Cai	2005 Feb 20	AAT2.0	UHKF	Ca II		2700	47
74405	0 37 1	2005 Feb 26	AA13.9	UHKF	INA I	-14.8	2400	17
/4405	θνοι	2005 Jun 15	AA13.9	UHRF	Na I	+28.3	3600	34
	a	2006 Mar 19	AAI3.9	UHRF	Сап		2400	32
76728	c Car	2005 Feb 25	AAT3.9	UHRF	Na I	-15.3	2700	70
		2005 Feb 26	AA13.9	UHRF	Сап		1800	71
	2	2005 Feb 28	AAT3.9	UHRF	Сап		1800	71
87504	$v^2$ Hya	2005 Jan 28	McD2.7	CS12	Са п		6000	13
		2005 Mar 1	AAT3.9	UHRF	Na 1	-13.6	2700	61
		2005 Mar 1	AAT3.9	UHRF	Са п		3600	19
87130	PP Hya	2004 Dec 6	McD2.7	CS23	Са п, Na 1	+28.1	1200	24, 163
84367	$\theta$ Ant	2006 Feb 15	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	-4.0	2400	111
83953	I Hya	2005 Mar 1	AAT3.9	UHRF	Na 1	-13.6	1200	22
		2005 Mar 1	AAT3.9	UHRF	Са п		2700	33
83754	$\kappa$ Hya	2005 Mar 1	AAT3.9	UHRF	Na 1	-13.6	1800	50
		2005 Jun 12	AAT3.9	UHRF	Са п		3600	10
86066	HIP 48683	2004 Dec 6	McD2.7	CS23	Са п, Na 1	+27.9	600	17, 95
222345	$\omega^1$ Aqr	2005 Jul 17	McD2.7	CS21	Сап		600	13
	*	2005 Jul 17	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	+25.7	1200	84
222661	$\omega^2 \operatorname{Agr}$	2005 Jul 15	McD2.7	CS21	Са п		1800	47
	1	2005 Jul 15	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	+26.2	1200	125
		2005 Jul 18	McD2.7	CS12	Са п		4800	14
		2005 Jul 19	McD2.7	CS12	Na i	+25.4	2700	17
225132	2 Cet	2004 Oct 20	McD2.7	CS21	Na i	-10.4	1200	150
		2005 Jul 18	McD2.7	CS12	Сал		3600	12
		2005 Jul 19	McD2.7	CS12	Nau	+26.5	3600	12
221565	$b^3$ Aar	2005 Jul 19 2004 Oct 19	McD2.7	CS21	Nai	-11.9	1200	149
221303	0 Add	2004 Oct 19	McD2.7	CS21	Сал	11.9	1200	21
		2004 Oct 19		LINDE	Сап	•••	2400	21
222847	;1 . ~~	2003 Aug 14	MaD2 7	CS21	Ua II	12.1	1200	32 01
22204/	ı Aqr	2004 Oct 20 2004 Oct 20	McD2.7	CS21		-12.1	1200	84 24
1074	LID C1	2004 Oct 20	McD2.7	CS21	Call		1200	20
1004	HK 51	2005 Sep 15	MCD2.7	CS21	Сап		1200	49
2224//		2005 Sep 15	McD2.7	CS21	Na I	+6.6	1200	82
223466	HR 9026	2004 Oct 19	McD2.7	CS21	Na I	-9.2	1200	63
1256	HR 61	2004 Oct 20	McD2.7	CS21	Na 1	-8.4	1200	53

<sup>a</sup> McD2.7: the Harlan J. Smith 2.7 m Telescope at McDonald Observatory; AAT3.9: the Anglo-Australian 3.9 m Telescope at the Anglo-Australian Observatory. <sup>b</sup> CS12: Coudé double-pass Spectrometer ( $R \sim 500,000$ ); CS21: Cross-Dispersed Echelle Spectrometer (2D Coudé) Focus 1 ( $R \sim 240,000$ ); CS23: Cross-Dispersed Echelle Spectrometer (2D Coudé) Focus 3 ( $R \sim 60,000$ ); UHRF: Ultra High Resolution Facility ( $R \sim 1,000,000$ ). <sup>c</sup> Projected velocity of the Earth's atmosphere at time of observation. Occasionally, atmospheric Na I is detected in high-S/N spectra and can contaminate the circumstellar or interstellar absorption feature. No atmospheric lines fall near the Ca II feature.

TABLE	4
Spitzer Observ	ATIONS

MIPS SED	
Date	
Sep 26	
Sep 26	
Sep 26 Sep 26	
)ate Se Se Se	

vapor lines are commonly present in spectra around the Na1 doublet. Although the telluric H2O lines are relatively weak, they need to be modeled and removed from the spectrum, in order to measure an accurate Na 1 absorption profile, particularly for observations toward nearby stars that may be expected to exhibit weak interstellar (or circumstellar) absorption. The traditional telluric subtraction technique of observing a nearby, rapidly rotating, earlytype star at a similar air mass in order to divide out an empirically derived telluric spectrum is not feasible for observations of our nearby targets. It is precisely our primary targets, which are nearby, rapidly rotating, early-type stars and likely candidates themselves to be used as a telluric standard, that we want to scrutinize for interstellar or circumstellar absorption. Instead, we use a forward modeling technique demonstrated by Lallement et al. (1993) to remove telluric line contamination in the vicinity of the Na I D lines. We use a relatively simple model of terrestrial atmospheric transmission (AT: Atmospheric Transmission program, from Airhead Software, Boulder, CO) developed by Erich Grossman to fit and remove the telluric water vapor lines. Observing both transitions of the Na 1 doublet is an important confirmation that the telluric subtraction is successful. With two independent measurements of Na I absorption at the same projected velocity, it is easy to identify contaminating telluric absorption. No telluric features fall near the Ca II H and K lines.

Atmospheric sodium absorption was occasionally detected, particularly in high signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) spectra. This absorption is easily identified at the Doppler shift of the projected velocity of the Earth's atmosphere in the heliocentric rest frame. This projected velocity is given in Table 2 for all Na 1 observations. For the vast majority of Na 1 observations, the location of an atmospheric absorption line is well separated from any astrophysical absorption features.

# 2.2. Infrared

The IR observations were obtained with *Spitzer* (Werner et al. 2004), from 2004 September through 2005 September. Table 4 lists dates and astronomical observation request (AOR) numbers. Near-IR photometry from 3.6 to 8.0  $\mu$ m was obtained with the Infrared Array Camera (IRAC; Fazio et al. 2004), and mid- to far-IR photometry was obtained with the Multiband Imaging Photometer for *Spitzer* (MIPS; Rieke et al. 2004). Moderate-resolution spectroscopy ( $R \approx 160-600$ ) in the 10–37  $\mu$ m range was obtained with Short-High (SH) and Long-High (LH) modules of the Infrared Spectrograph (IRS; Houck et al. 2004), while low-resolution ( $R \approx 15-25$ ) spectroscopy in the 55–95  $\mu$ m region was obtained with the SED mode of MIPS.

#### 2.2.1. Spitzer Photometry

The IRAC and MIPS 24  $\mu$ m data were processed using the c2d mosaicking/source extraction software, c2dphot (Harvey et al. 2004), which is based on the mosaicking program, Astronomical Point Source Extraction (APEX), developed by the *Spitzer* Sci-

ence Center (SSC) and the source extractor DoPHOT (Schechter et al. 1993). While the photometric measurement uncertainties are small ( $\sim$ 5% and  $\sim$ 9%) for IRAC and MIPS 24  $\mu$ m sources with good S/N, the absolute calibration uncertainty is estimated to be 10% (Evans et al. 2007).

We used the Mosaicking and Point Source Extraction (MOPEX) software package version 0301063 (Makovoz & Khan 2005) to create 70 and 160  $\mu$ m mosaics starting from the basic calibrated data (BCD) processed by the SSC through the S14.4 pipeline (Spitzer Science Center 2006<sup>4</sup>). We used the median-filtered BCDs provided by the SSC, which are optimized for photometry of point sources. Only two of our sources,  $\alpha$  Oph and  $\beta$  Car, are clearly detected at 70  $\mu$ m. We obtained 70  $\mu$ m fluxes and uncertainties for  $\alpha$  Oph and  $\beta$  Car using MOPEX point-source fitting from half-pixel (i.e., 4") resampled mosiacs. We obtained 70  $\mu$ m upper limits for HR 10 and HD 85905 through aperture photometry from mosaics resampled at the original pixel scale. We use an aperture with a radius of 16" and a sky annulus with an inner and an outer radius of 48" and 80", respectively. Based on high-S/N 70  $\mu$ m point sources identified in the BCD mosaics of the Spitzer c2d Legacy project (program identification PID = 173), we derived a multiplicative aperture correction (AC) of 1.6. Thus, we compute the observed 70  $\mu$ m flux,  $F_{70} = FA_{70} \times AC$ , where FA<sub>70</sub> is the flux within the aperture minus the contribution from the sky. We estimate a 1  $\sigma$  photometric uncertainty,  $\sigma = \mathrm{AC} \times$  $\text{rms}_{\text{sky}} \times n^{1/2}$ , where  $\text{rms}_{\text{sky}}$  is the rms of the pixels in the sky annulus and n is the number of pixels in our aperture. An absolute calibration uncertainty, estimated to be 20% (Evans et al. 2007), is added in quadrature to the photometric uncertainties for MIPS 70 and 160  $\mu$ m. Stars  $\alpha$  Oph and  $\beta$  Car are detected with S/N  $\sim$  30, while HD 85905 and HR 10 are very close to the 70  $\mu$ m detection limit (e.g.,  $F_{70} \sim 3 \sigma$ ). We note that the absolute calibration uncertainty is estimated at the 20% level for 70  $\mu$ m observations (Spitzer Science Center 2006) and becomes the dominant source of error for moderate- and high-S/N observations.

None of our four sources are detected at 160  $\mu$ m. The MIPS 160  $\mu$ m channel has a short-wavelength filter leak in which stray light in the wavelength range of 1–1.6  $\mu$ m produces a ghost image offset ~40" from the true 160  $\mu$ m image. The leak is only detectable above the confusion limit for sources brighter than  $J \sim 5.5$  (*Spitzer* Science Center 2006). Given the brightness of  $\alpha$  Oph and  $\beta$  Car (J = 1.75 and 1.55, respectively), their MIPS 160  $\mu$ m images are severely affected by this leak. For our two fainter targets, HR 10 and HD 85905 (J = 5.85 and 6.05, respectively), the 160  $\mu$ m confusion limit expected from extragalactic sources (Dole et al. 2004). In this case, we use an aperture 32" in radius and a sky annulus with an inner and an outer radius of 40" and 80", respectively. We adopt an aperture correction of 2.0, appropriate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> MOPEX is available for distribution at http://ssc.spitzer.caltech.edu/postbcd/.

Available at http://ssc.spitzer.caltech.edu/mips.

for the size of our aperture and sky annulus.<sup>5</sup> Similar to the 70  $\mu$ m upper limits, we calculate the 1  $\sigma$  uncertainty,  $\sigma = AC \times rms_{sky} \times n^{1/2}$ , from mosaics resampled at the original pixel scale. The 160  $\mu$ m flux at the position of all four sources is affected by the short-wavelength leak. Therefore, we adopt a conservative 3  $\sigma$  upper limit by adding 3  $\sigma$  to the flux measured at the source position.

# 2.2.2. Spitzer Spectroscopy

The IRS spectra were extracted via the c2d Interactive Analysis (c2dia) reduction environment (F. Lahuis et al. 2007, in preparation).<sup>6</sup> Prior to extraction, the dither positions are combined, reducing noise and adding to spectral stability. The spectra are extracted using an optimal point-spread function (PSF) extraction, in which an analytical cross-dispersion PSF, defined from high-S/N observations of a calibrator, is fitted to the collapsed order data by varying the offset for the trace and width of the source profile. The observed signal is assumed to be that of a point source plus a uniform zero level (representing extended/sky emission). The amplitude of the zero level is determined via the profile fitting method and used to make sky corrections. The optimal PSF extraction uses a fit to the good pixels only; therefore, direct bad/hot pixel corrections are not required. The final spectra are defringed using the IRSFRINGE package developed by the c2d team.

MIPS SED spectra were calibrated and co-added using MOPEX. The spectra were then extracted from the co-added images via standard methods using the IRAF apall tool within the National Optical Astronomy Observatory (NOAO) TWODSPEC package. Wavelength calibration and aperture corrections were performed postextraction by using the "MIPS70 SED sample calibration" file provided by the SSC with the MOPEX package (ver. 030106).

Two of the four sources (HR 10 and HD 85905) were not detected in the IRS LH module, and 3  $\sigma$  upper limits are used together with our photometric measurements to constrain the estimates of the excess emission (§ 4). In addition, no gas-phase atomic or molecular lines or solid-state silicate or polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) bands were detected in the *Spitzer* spectra (§ 5).

# 3. DETECTIONS AND CONSTRAINTS ON VARIABLE CIRCUMSTELLAR GAS

Gas-phase absorption due to Ca II and Na I gas-phase atoms was monitored in spectra of all four targets. Table 2 details the observational parameters. All targets were observed over short (~few nights) and long (~few months) timescales, spanning 2.75 yr. Examples of observed spectra are shown in Figure 1. Two epochs are shown for each star to emphasize any variability and demonstrate the use of different spectrographs and spectral resolutions. The  $\alpha$  Oph absorption, which shows no evidence for variability, exemplifies the consistency in data collection and reduction over 7 months while using two different instruments (CS21 and UHRF). HD 85905 and HR 10 show clear evidence for variability over these two epochs, while only the Na I profiles of  $\beta$  Car varied significantly over the two epochs shown. The temporal variability of the entire data set is discussed in detail in § 3.1.

Figure 1 also shows quite clearly that the observed Na I column density is significantly lower relative to the observed Ca II absorption. In fact, we detect only very weak ISM or circumstellar Na I absorption toward HR 10, despite it being our most distant sight line and therefore likely to traverse significant ISM material. It does show quite strong circumstellar absorption in Ca II. The constant Na I absorption in HD 85905, despite the variability in Ca II presumably from a circumstellar gas disk, may be a signature of the intervening ISM along this line of sight, rather than the circumstellar disk. The "contamination" of interstellar absorption on our observations of gas in circumstellar disks is discussed in § 3.2, where spectra of stars in close angular proximity to our targets are presented.

#### 3.1. Temporal Variability

Figure 1 shows that variability is detected in three of our four targets. In order to characterize the absorption profile, we use the apparent optical depth (AOD) method (Savage & Sembach 1991) to calculate the observed column density in each velocity bin. An alternative characterization would be to model the absorption profile with a series of Gaussian components, as is often done in highresolution ISM absorption line analysis (e.g., Welty et al. 1994; Crawford & Dunkin 1995), and is particularly straightforward in observations of the simple absorption profiles seen toward nearby stars, where only one to three components are detected (Redfield & Linsky 2001). Component fitting has also been used successfully to characterize variable absorption due to circumstellar material (e.g., Welsh et al. 1998). However, the ability to attach physical properties with the parameters used to fit the series of Gaussian absorption profiles is highly sensitive to the physical distinctiveness in projected velocity of the absorbing medium and the resolving power of the spectrograph. In other words, a one-to-one correspondence must exist between an absorbing structure and an observed absorption component in order to make meaningful physical measurements. For example, physical properties (e.g., temperature) can be derived from a Gaussian fit to the line width for ISM absorption toward the nearest stars, since one absorption component is observed and the path length is so short that only one absorbing cloud is traversed (Redfield & Linsky 2004). However, the circumstellar environment giving rise to the variable absorption profiles is likely too complicated (e.g., coincident projected velocities from different absorbing sites) to allow for a straightforward correspondence with a series of Gaussian components. Therefore, we employ the AOD technique to characterize the observed absorption.

The AOD method is well described in Savage & Sembach (1991) and has been used extensively to model absorption profiles (e.g., Jenkins & Tripp 2001; Roberge et al. 2002). In brief, the AOD ( $\tau_a$ ) in velocity (v) space is

$$\tau_a(v) = \ln \left[ \frac{I_0(v)}{I_{\text{obs}}(v)} \right],\tag{1}$$

where  $I_{obs}(v)$  is the observed spectrum and  $I_0(v)$  is the continuum spectrum expected if no interstellar or circumstellar absorption were present. In a normalized spectrum, as shown in Figure 1, the stellar background intensities have already been divided out, and  $I_0(v) = 1$ . Equation (1) does not describe the true optical depth, since the instrumental line-spread function (LSF) is folded into our observed spectrum  $[I_{obs}(v)]$ .

The column density  $(N_a)$  in each velocity bin can be calculated from the AOD,

$$N_a(v) = \frac{m_e c}{\pi e^2} \frac{\tau_a(v)}{f \lambda} \quad (\mathrm{cm}^{-2}), \tag{2}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A discussion of aperture corrections applied to MIPS data can be viewed at http://ssc.spitzer.caltech.edu/mips/apercorr/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> A description of c2dia is also available in the documentation for the final c2d Legacy data delivery, which is available at http://ssc.spitzer.caltech.edu/legacy/all.html.



FIG. 1.—Examples of typical Ca II and Na I spectra taken at two different epochs for all four primary targets. The vertical dotted line indicates the stellar radial velocity. Both ions are shown on the same scale, and it is clear that the Ca II absorption is much stronger than Na I in all cases. Temporal variability is immediately obvious in Ca II observations of HD 85905 and HR 10 and in Na I observations of  $\beta$  Car. These examples include spectra taken at various spectral resolutions from both the McDonald Observatory H. J. Smith Telescope and the AAT. Note the success of telluric subtraction in the Na I spectra.

where f is the oscillator strength of the transition and  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the velocity bin. The total column density can be calculated by integrating  $N_a(v)$  over the velocity range of interest. Equation (2) provides accurate total column densities provided that the absorption is unsaturated. Since both Ca II and Na I are doublets, we have two independent measurements of the absorbed column density in transitions with different oscillator strengths. A comparison of  $N_a(v)$  for the two transitions in each doublet confirms that the absorption is unsaturated. A final  $N_a(v)$  is calculated for each ion by taking the weighted mean of  $N_a(v)$  calculated for each transition. By utilizing the information from both transitions in the doublet, the impact of numerous systematic uncertainties (e.g., continuum placement, telluric line subtraction, wavelength calibration) is greatly reduced.



FIG. 2.—Three-dimensional data cubes of the observed column density, signified by the colored contours, in Ca II (*left*) and Na I (right), toward  $\alpha$  Oph. The data are shown as a function of projected velocity and date of observation. Although the colored contours are displayed as continuous through time, observations are sporadic and indicated by the horizontal dashed lines. A simple interpolation between observations is used to create the continuous data cube. The color coding is normalized in S/N between Ca II and Na I, so that it is clear that the Ca II absorption is much stronger compared to Na I. Little, if any, variation is detected in the absorption toward  $\alpha$  Oph.

Another challenge of evaluating the temporal variability of absorption profiles is amalgamating the data of a long monitoring campaign. Even comparing the spectra of only two epochs, as in Figure 1, can be confusing. Comparing spectra directly in such a way for as many as 26 epochs is impractical. Each observation results in an array of measurements of the column density as a function of velocity (derived from the normalized flux as a function of wavelength as described above). Therefore, we have a sporadic data cube. Figures 2-5 are three-dimensional contour plots of observed column density as a function of velocity as a function of time, for all four targets. It is important to note that the observations are sporadic and not continuous in time. The date of each observation is highlighted with a dashed line, and the contours between epochs are simple interpolations between the two observations. Occasionally closely spaced observations (e.g., <1 week apart) cannot be distinguished in Figures 2–5 and can be associated with an apparent discontinuity. It is likely that subtle changes, such as in Ca II toward  $\alpha$  Oph in Figure 2 or Na I toward HD 85905 in Figure 4, are caused by systematic effects, whereas obvious circumstellar variability is seen, for example, in Ca II toward HR 10 in Figure 5. The short- and long-term temporal variability is discussed in detail below. For each target, the color coding is normalized between Ca II and Na I such that column density measurements of comparable S/N are displayed with the same color. For example, the normalization of S/N makes it clear in Figure 2 that the Na1 feature is clearly weaker when compared to the strong Ca II absorption.

Some basic attributes of the temporal variability data cubes are summarized in Figure 6. For each observation, the total col-

umn density,  $N_{\text{tot}} = \int_{v_1}^{v_2} N_a(v) dv$ , and the column density– weighted velocity,  $\langle v \rangle_N = \sum_{i=v_1}^{v_2} v_i N_i / N_{\text{tot}}$ , are plotted, where  $v_1$ and  $v_2$  indicate the range of velocities over which the absorption is detected. The error bars on the total column density are often smaller than the symbol size. The "error bars" shown in the column density-weighted velocity are the weighted average variance (Bevington & Robinson 1992). Therefore, the "errors" shown for  $\langle v \rangle_N$  are not the errors in determining the central velocity of absorption, which for these high-resolution spectra range from 0.1 to  $2.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , but the range of velocities with significant absorption. For example, in the case of the Ca II spectra shown for HR 10 in Figure 1, for the 2004 August 27 spectrum,  $\langle v \rangle_{Ca \, II} = -15.9 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , and the weighted average variance is only 2.6 km s<sup>-1</sup>. The fact that the weighted average variance is relatively small matches the fact that the absorption profile spans a narrow range of velocities around  $-16 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The 2005 September 14 spectrum, on the other hand, shows a narrow absorption component around  $9 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , as well as significant weak absorption that ranges from -25 to 5 km s<sup>-1</sup>. Since the absorption covers a wide range of velocities and is asymmetric,  $\langle v \rangle_{\text{Ca II}}$  is not centered exactly on the narrow component but at 1.8 km s<sup>-1</sup>, and the weighted average variance is relatively large, 12.1 km s<sup>-1</sup>, since absorption is detected over a wide range of velocities. The total column density and the column density-weighted velocity of each observation are listed in Table 5.

Figures 1–6 indicate that no temporal variability is detected toward  $\alpha$  Oph in either observed ion. The Ca II absorption observed toward  $\beta$  Car is relatively constant, but variation is seen in Na I. HD 85905 shows some Ca II variability but relatively



FIG. 3.—Same as Fig. 2, but for absorption toward  $\beta$  Car. The vertical dotted line indicates the stellar radial velocity. Some slight variation is detected in Ca II, and clear temporal variability is seen in Na I.



FIG. 4.—Same as Fig. 2, but for absorption toward HD 85905. The vertical dotted line indicates the stellar radial velocity. Temporal variation is detected in Ca II, while a relatively constant feature, likely interstellar, is seen in Na I.





FIG. 5.—Same as Fig. 2, but for absorption toward HR 10. The vertical dotted line indicates the stellar radial velocity. Strong temporal variation is detected in Ca II, while only very weak absorption is detected in Na I.

constant Na 1 absorption, likely dominated by absorption from interstellar material in the Local Bubble shell, which is discussed in § 3.2. HR 10 shows dramatic Ca II temporal variability, but little to no absorption, interstellar or circumstellar, is detected in Na I.

#### 3.1.1. Search for Short-Term Variability

Short-term variability, on timescales of nights or hours, is detected in two of our targets: HD 85905 and HR 10. Night-tonight measurements are provided in Table 5. Such short temporal variations have been detected in  $\beta$  Pic (Ferlet et al. 1987) and in these two stars by Welsh et al. (1998). Column density variations from night to night can reach factors  $\geq 2$ , while shifts in velocity of  $\geq 10$  km s<sup>-1</sup> are detected. However, the magnitude and frequency of short variations in our targets remain lower than detected toward the prototypical edge-on debris disk,  $\beta$  Pic, where single-feature night-to-night variations in column density can exceed a factor of 10, as well as 20 km s<sup>-1</sup> in radial velocity (Petterson & Tobin 1999).

#### 3.1.2. Comparison of Contemporaneous Ca II and Na I Observations

Contemporaneous observations of both Ca II and Na I absorption toward circumstellar disk stars, even  $\beta$  Pic, are relatively rare. There is a strong preference to observe the Ca II lines rather than the Na I lines because Na I is significantly less abundant (see § 3.3) and it is difficult to model and remove the telluric lines that populate the spectral region near Na I. Welsh et al. (1997, 1998) monitor both Ca II and Na I for several edge-on circumstellar disks, including  $\beta$  Pic, HD 85905, and HR 10. In the case of  $\beta$  Pic, only the strong component at the rest frame of the star is detected in both ions, while no time-variable absorbers have ever been detected in Na I. Toward HD 85905 and HR 10, Welsh et al. (1998)

detect absorption in both ions, often with little one-to-one correspondence in the velocity of the absorption between Ca II and Na I. However, it is important to note that the ions were not observed during the same night, but on adjacent nights.

Although rarely simultaneous, many of our observations of Ca II and Na I were taken one after the other during the same night. The nightly measurements, given in Table 5, can be used to compare such contemporaneous absorption measurements of Ca II and Na I. Both ions show the same absorption feature toward  $\alpha$  Oph, although, as discussed in § 3.2.1, this feature is not circumstellar in origin, but a result of interstellar absorption along the line of sight. Toward  $\beta$  Car, the Ca II and Na I absorption features do not match in velocity. The Ca II is relatively constant and distinct from the narrow and weak Na 1 absorption. It is possible that we are sampling two different collections of material, and the relatively constant Ca II feature is part of the extended disk, while Na I is found in the variable gas component. Toward HD 85905, a comparison between contemporaneous Ca II and Na I observations shows little correspondence, although there is the possibility that the Na1 absorption is due to the ISM, as discussed in § 3.2.2. The contemporaneous observations toward HR 10 are typically consistent between Ca II and Na I, such as the 2005 September and 2004 October observations. However, the 2004 August observations of Ca II and Na I are not consistent in velocity, similar to the earlier observations by Welsh et al. (1998) where Ca II and Na I absorption features differ between adjacent nights.

# 3.1.3. Distribution of Redshifted versus Blueshifted Features

The velocity distribution of the variable circumstellar absorption features relative to the rest frame of the host star is an important



FIG. 6.—Total column density (*left*) and column density-weighted velocity (*right*) measurements of absorption toward our primary targets as a function of time. The filled symbols indicate Ca II observations, while open symbols indicate Na I. The error bars are the weighted average variance and in the case of  $\langle v \rangle_N$  indicate the range of observed velocities rather than the error in measuring the central velocity of absorption. The shaded regions (*blue*: Ca II; *red*: Na I) indicate the weighted mean and weighted average variance of the absorption detected in the primary target, presented in Fig. 6. The horizontal dotted line in the right panels indicates the radial velocity of the star. Three of our four targets show some signs of temporal variation. Only the spectra toward  $\alpha$  Oph show no hint of variation. The data are also presented in Table 5.

constraint on the dynamics of the absorbing gas. Toward  $\beta$  Pic, the majority of variable absorption features are redshifted relative to the rest frame of the star, although blueshifted absorption is not particularly rare (Crawford et al. 1998; Petterson & Tobin 1999). Although we do not have the temporal sampling of some of the monitoring campaigns of  $\beta$  Pic (e.g., Petterson & Tobin 1999), we are able to quantify the frequency of redshifted versus blueshifted absorption features. Using the radial velocities listed in Table 1, we calculated the fraction of the total absorption that was redshifted relative to the radial velocity of the star, i.e.,  $f_{red} =$ 

 $\int_{v_R}^{\infty} N(v) / \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} N(v)$ . For  $\beta$  Car,  $f_{red}$ (Ca II) ranged from 80% to 100%, while  $f_{red}$ (Na I) ranged from 4% to 100%, indicating the stability of the Ca II feature in contrast to Na I. Toward HD 85905,  $f_{red}$ (Ca II) ranged from 25% to 79%, while  $f_{red}$ (Na I) ranged from 0% to 2%, although as discussed in § 3.2.2, there is a possibility that the Na I feature is caused by the ISM. Toward HR 10,  $f_{red}$ (Ca II) ranged from 0% to 98%, while  $f_{red}$ (Na I) ranged from 44% to 100%. In contrast to  $\beta$  Pic, the velocity of variable absorption toward our three circumstellar disk stars did not have a distribution dominated by redshifted radial velocities.

HD	Other Name	Ion	Date	$\langle v  angle_N^{\ a}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	$\frac{\log N}{(\mathrm{cm}^{-2})}$	References
159561	$\alpha$ Oph	Са п	2006 Jul 06	$-25.7 \pm 2.3$	$11.49\pm0.04$	This work
		Са п	2006 Feb 14	$-26.1\pm2.7$	$11.55\pm0.01$	This work
		Са п	2006 Feb 13	$-25.7\pm2.1$	$11.44\pm0.02$	This work
		Са п	2005 Sep 14	$-26.1\pm2.7$	$11.57\pm0.01$	This work
		Са п	2005 Sep 11	$-25.8\pm2.6$	$11.51\pm0.01$	This work
		Са п	2005 Aug 18	$-25.9\pm2.5$	$11.50\pm0.02$	This work
		Са п	2005 Jul 18	$-25.5 \pm 2.1$	$11.52\pm0.01$	This work
		Са п	2005 Jul 15	$-26.0\pm2.4$	$11.53\pm0.01$	This work
		Са п	2005 Jun 15	$-26.0\pm2.5$	$11.49\pm0.02$	This work
		Са п	2005 Jun 12	$-25.7 \pm 2.9$	$11.46 \pm 0.03$	This work
		Са п	2005 Mar 23	$-25.2 \pm 3.1$	$11.47 \pm 0.01$	This work
		Са п	2005 Mar 22	$-25.1 \pm 3.9$	$11.47 \pm 0.01$	This work
		Сап	2005 Mar 21	$-25.7 \pm 2.1$	$11.48 \pm 0.01$	This work
		Сап	2005 Mar 17	$-26.3 \pm 2.6$	$11.55 \pm 0.01$	This work
		Сап	2005 Mar 16	$-26.0 \pm 2.5$	$11.53 \pm 0.01$	This work
		Сап	2005 Mar 14	$-25.7 \pm 3.1$	$11.51 \pm 0.02$	This work
		Сап	2005 Feb 15	$-25.8 \pm 2.2$	$11.52 \pm 0.01$	This work
		Сап	2005 Feb 14	$-25.6 \pm 3.0$	$11.53 \pm 0.01$	This work
		Сап	2004 Oct 18	$-26.2 \pm 2.5$	$11.52 \pm 0.01$	This work
		Ca II	2004 Aug 30	$-26.1 \pm 2.3$	$11.53 \pm 0.01$	This work
		Сап	2004 Aug 29	$-25.9 \pm 2.1$	$11.52 \pm 0.01$ 11.55 $\pm 0.01$	This work
		Сап	2004 Aug 28	$-26.1 \pm 2.0$	$11.55 \pm 0.01$ 11.56 $\pm 0.01$	This work
		Сап	2004  Aug  27 2004  Apr  07	$-20.0 \pm 2.7$	$11.30 \pm 0.01$ 11.46 ± 0.01	This work
		Сап	2004 Apr 07	$-25.0 \pm 2.5$ 25.3 $\pm$ 2.1	$11.40 \pm 0.01$ 11.50 $\pm 0.01$	This work
		Сан	2003 Oct 00 2002 Mar 26	$-25.5 \pm 2.1$ -25	$11.30 \pm 0.01$ 11.30	Hempel & Schmitt (2003)
		Сан	1996 Feb 29	25	11.30	Hempel & Schmitt (2003)
		Сап	1994 Apr 24	-263 + 24	11.57 $11.54 \pm 0.07$	Crawford (2001)
		Сап	1994 Apr 24	$-26.1 \pm 2.1$	$11.38^{+0.12}$	Crawford & Dunkin (1995)
		Сап	1993 Sep 28–1993 Oct 01	-26.5	11.53	Welty et al. (1996)
		Сап	1984–1986	$-25.3 \pm 1.0$	$11.40 \pm 0.02$	Lallement et al. (1986)
		Na 1	2006 Feb 14	$-26.3 \pm 2.5$	$10.58 \pm 0.01$	This work
		Na 1	2005 Jul 19	$-27.1 \pm 0.8$	$10.46\pm0.05$	This work
		Na 1	2005 Jun 14	$-26.0 \pm 1.3$	$10.48\pm0.08$	This work
		Na 1	2005 Mar 17	$-26.2 \pm 2.1$	$10.58\pm0.02$	This work
		Na 1	2005 Mar 16	$-26.3 \pm 2.4$	$10.62\pm0.01$	This work
		Na 1	2005 Feb 28	$-25.6\pm3.0$	$10.63\pm0.05$	This work
		Na 1	2005 Feb 15	$-25.6\pm2.3$	$10.57\pm0.04$	This work
		Na 1	1991-1992	$-26.4\pm1.0$	$10.48\pm0.03$	Bertin et al. (1993)
		Na 1	1987 - 1989	-25.8	10.45	Welty et al. (1994)
80007	$\beta$ Car	Са п	2006 Jul 05	$2.8\pm2.3$	$10.46\pm0.10$	This work
		Са п	2005 Aug 16	$3.1 \pm 1.5$	$10.18\pm0.32$	This work
		Са п	2005 Jun 15	$0.5 \pm 4.3$	$10.38\pm0.12$	This work
		Са п	2005 Jun 12	$1.2\pm3.2$	$10.51\pm0.10$	This work
		Са п	2005 Feb 28	$1.5 \pm 3.3$	$10.56\pm0.08$	This work
		Са п	2005 Feb 26	$1.8\pm2.9$	$10.49\pm0.06$	This work
		Са п	2005 Feb 25	$1.3 \pm 5.0$	$10.47 \pm 0.07$	This work
		Сап	2002 Mar 27	4.0	10.14	Hempel & Schmitt (2003)
		Сап	2002 Mar 26	4.0	10.14	Hempel & Schmitt (2003)
		Сап	1996 Feb 29		10.50	Hempel & Schmitt (2003)
		Na 1	2005 Jun 15	$-7.1 \pm 0.6$	$9.87 \pm 0.13$	This work
		Na i	2005 Mar 01	$7.3 \pm 0.6$	$10.14 \pm 0.12$	This work
		Na I	2005 Feb 28	$7.0 \pm 0.6$	$10.15 \pm 0.14$	This work
		Na I	2005 Feb 26	$1.2 \pm 0.6$	$9.96 \pm 0.21$	I his work
		INA I	1989–1991		<10.23	weisn et al. (1994)

TABLE 5 HIGH-RESOLUTION ABSORPTION-LINE MEASUREMENT HISTORY

# GAS AND DUST IN NEARBY EDGE-ON DISKS

TABLE	5—	Continued
-------	----	-----------

HD	Other Name	Ion	Date	$\langle v  angle_N^{\ a}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	$\log N$ (cm <sup>-2</sup> )	References
85905	HR 3921	Са п	2006 Feb 14	$19.2 \pm 7.2$	$11.39\pm0.06$	This work
		Са п	2005 Mar 17	$15.9\pm11.0$	$11.62\pm0.05$	This work
		Са п	2005 Mar 14	$19.3\pm3.8$	$11.86 \pm 0.01$	This work
		Са п	2005 Mar 01	$20.2\pm4.2$	$11.46\pm0.08$	This work
		Са п	2005 Feb 26	$12.4\pm12.2$	$11.77 \pm 0.04$	This work
		Са п	2005 Feb 14	$16.1\pm7.3$	$11.78\pm0.03$	This work
		Са п	2004 Dec 06	$15.7\pm5.0$	$11.65\pm0.02$	This work
		Са п	2004 Dec 02	$13.7\pm8.5$	$11.91 \pm 0.01$	This work
		Са п	1997 Nov 17	7.0	11.97	Welsh et al. (1998)
		Са п	1997 Jan 25	-11.5	12.24	Welsh et al. (1998)
		Са п	1997 Jan 24	-5.9	11.99	Welsh et al. (1998)
		Са п	1987 May		$11.97^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$	Lagrange-Henri et al. (1990b)
		Na 1	2006 Feb 14	$7.8\pm3.2$	$11.02\pm0.01$	This work
		Na 1	2005 Jun 15	$5.7\pm7.3$	$10.90\pm0.09$	This work
		Na 1	2005 Mar 17	$7.7\pm1.2$	$10.92\pm0.03$	This work
		Na 1	2005 Mar 16	$8.4\pm1.6$	$10.99\pm0.03$	This work
		Na 1	2005 Mar 14	$8.4\pm1.8$	$10.86\pm0.04$	This work
		Na 1	2005 Mar 01	$9.3\pm1.6$	$10.97\pm0.05$	This work
		Na 1	2005 Feb 14	$7.9 \pm 1.4$	$10.91\pm0.02$	This work
		Na 1	2004 Dec 06	$7.6\pm2.6$	$10.93\pm0.01$	This work
		Na 1	2004 Dec 02	$8.0\pm3.2$	$10.82\pm0.01$	This work
		Na 1	1997 Nov 19	9.2	11.22	Welsh et al. (1998)
		Na 1	1997 Jan 26	-10.8	11.06	Welsh et al. (1998)
256	HR 10	Са п	2005 Sep 14	$1.8\pm12.1$	$12.19\pm0.01$	This work
		Са п	2005 Jun 15	$1.6\pm6.9$	$12.44\pm0.02$	This work
		Са п	2004 Oct 18	$-11.4\pm10.4$	$12.04\pm0.01$	This work
		Са п	2004 Aug 28	$-10.1 \pm 10.7$	$11.87\pm0.06$	This work
		Са п	2004 Aug 27	$-15.9\pm2.6$	$11.95\pm0.03$	This work
		Са п	1997 Nov 18	6.1	12.23	Welsh et al. (1998)
		Са п	1997 Nov 17	2.7	12.14	Welsh et al. (1998)
		Са п	1997 Jun 20	4.4	11.92	Welsh et al. (1998)
		Са п	1997 Jan 25	-5.1	12.55	Welsh et al. (1998)
		Са п	1997 Jan 24	-6.8	12.62	Welsh et al. (1998)
		Са п	1996 Nov 30	-6.5	11.87	Welsh et al. (1998)
		Са п	1986 Aug-1988 Dec		$12.09^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$	Lagrange-Henri et al. (1990b)
		Са п	1985 Jun-1985 Oct		12.28	Hobbs (1986)
		Na 1	2005 Sep 14	$1.4 \pm 9.4$	$10.13\pm0.16$	This work
		Na 1	2005 Jul 15		<10.5	This work
		Na 1	2004 Oct 20	$-15.2 \pm 10.4$	$10.41\pm0.05$	This work
		Na 1	2004 Oct 19	$-7.5\pm8.0$	$10.44\pm0.04$	This work
		Na 1	2004 Oct 18	$-20.5\pm9.5$	$10.46\pm0.05$	This work
		Na 1	2004 Aug 28	$-2.4\pm7.4$	$10.31\pm0.09$	This work
		Na 1	2004 Aug 27	$0.1\pm8.8$	$10.59\pm0.05$	This work
		Na 1	1997 Nov 20	12.5	11.09	Welsh et al. (1998)
		Na 1	1997 Nov 19	-6.9	11.49	Welsh et al. (1998)
		Na 1	1986 Aug-1988 Dec		<10.5	Lagrange-Henri et al. (1990b)
		Na 1	1985 Jun-1985 Oct		<11.0	Hobbs (1986)

<sup>a</sup> Column density–weighted mean velocity, and an "error" that is the weighted average variance, essentially the range of velocities in which significant absorption is present. The error in determining the velocity of any given absorption is typically  $0.1-2.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , depending on the spectral resolution of the observations.

#### 3.1.4. Search for Very Long Term Variability

The primary targets were selected based on previous evidence of anomalous or variable gas-phase atomic absorption. This work builds on that of previous studies and presents an opportunity to search for very long term variability, on the timescale of decades. Table 5 details the absorption characteristics observed during the monitoring campaign presented in this work, as well as past measurements by other researchers. We have limited the literature search to relatively high spectral resolution observations ( $R \ge 60,000$ ).

Our measurements of Ca II and Na I toward  $\alpha$  Oph are constant and consistent with past observations. Some of the total column

density measurements are slightly lower than the present values (e.g., Hempel & Schmitt 2003). However, the central velocity has remained steady throughout at approximately  $-25.8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , and systematic errors are expected due to the different instruments and analysis techniques employed. For example, a new analysis by Crawford (2001) of identical data from Crawford & Dunkin (1995) led to a slight increase in column density, from log  $N_{\text{Ca II}} = 11.38$  to 11.54, which matches the mean value of the present monitoring campaign, which is log  $N_{\text{Ca II}} = 11.53$ .

The variation we see in the total column density of Ca II toward  $\beta$  Car,  $\log N_{\text{Ca II}} = 10.18 - 10.56$ , is similar to the variation detected by Hempel & Schmitt (2003),  $\log N_{\text{Ca II}} = 10.14 - 10.50$ . The previous nondetection of Na I toward  $\beta$  Car of

HD	Other Name	Spectral Type	$m_V$ (mag)	$\frac{v_R}{(\mathrm{km}~\mathrm{s}^{-1})}$	$v \sin i$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	l (deg)	b (deg)	Distance <sup>a</sup> (pc)	$\frac{\Delta \theta^{\rm b}}{(\rm deg)}$	$\frac{\Delta r_{\rm POS}}{(\rm pc)}^{\rm c}$
165777	72 Oph	A4 IVs	3.72	-23.9	65	36.6	14.1	$25.38^{+0.55}_{-0.52}$	8.53	2.1
160910	HR 6594	F4 Vw	5.55	-43.7	30	40.0	22.4	$35.5^{+1.1}_{-1.0}$	3.79	0.9
159332	HR 6541	F6 V	5.66	-58.8	5	42.5	25.5	$36.7^{+1.0}_{-1.0}$	6.69	1.7
154494	60 Her	A4 IV	4.88	-4.2	117	32.8	29.2	$44.1^{+1.4}_{-1.4}$	7.16	1.8
154228	HR 6341	A1 V	5.92	-31.7	43	33.5	30.0	$80.8_{-4.3}^{+4.8}$	7.71	1.9

TABLE 6 Stellar Parameters for Targets near  $\alpha$  Oph

NOTE.-All values from SIMBAD unless otherwise noted.

<sup>a</sup> Distances calculated from *Hipparcos* parallaxes.

<sup>b</sup> Angular distance from direction of  $\alpha$  Oph.

<sup>c</sup> Physical separation in the plane of the sky from  $\alpha$  Oph, at the distance of  $\alpha$  Oph.

log  $N_{\text{Na}\,\text{I}} < 10.23$  by Welsh et al. (1994) is consistent with the relatively weak absorption features that are detected in the present campaign, which range from log  $N_{\text{Na}\,\text{I}} = 9.87$  to 10.15. The radial velocity of the Na I features varied by 14.4 km s<sup>-1</sup> over the two epochs, from  $v_{\text{Na}\,\text{I}} = -7.1$  to +7.3 km s<sup>-1</sup>.

Variation in velocity ( $v_{Ca II} = 12.4-20.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) and column density (log  $N_{Ca II} = 11.39-11.91$ ) is detected in Ca II absorption toward HD 85905, just as it has been in previous campaigns ( $v_{Ca II} = -11.5 \text{ to} +7.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , log  $N_{Ca II} = 11.97-12.24$ ; Welsh et al. 1998). We do not see as dramatic velocity shifts, nor quite as large total column densities, but the magnitude of variability in column density is comparable. We see a relatively stable Na I component in velocity ( $v_{Na I} \sim 8.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ), which shows subtle column density variations (log  $N_{Na I} = 10.82-11.02$ ). This is similar to the 1997 November observations by Welsh et al. (1994),  $v_{Na I} = 9.2$  and log  $N_{Na I} = 11.22$ . However, over a temporal baseline of 1.2 yr, we see no dramatic variation, while in two epochs spaced by ~10 months, Welsh et al. (1994) observed a dramatic shift in velocity to  $v_{Na I} = -10.8$  and a subtle weakening in column density, log  $N_{Na I} = 11.02$ .

The dramatic Ca II absorption variability toward HR 10 ( $v_{Ca II} = -15.9$  to +1.8 km s<sup>-1</sup>, log  $N_{Ca II} = 11.87-12.44$ ) is similar to variations seen in previous observations in velocity ( $v_{Ca II} = -6.8$  to +6.1 km s<sup>-1</sup>) and total column densities (log  $N_{Ca II} = 11.87-12.62$ ). The Na I columns (log  $N_{Na I} = 10.13-10.59$ ) are significantly smaller for this campaign than observed by Welsh et al. (1998; log  $N_{Na I} = 11.09-11.49$ ). The upper limits by Hobbs (1986; log  $N_{Na I} < 11.0$ ) and Lagrange-Henri et al. (1990b; log  $N_{Na I} < 10.5$ ) are consistent with the present campaign's low column densities and indicate that the high column density absorption epoch detected by Welsh et al. (1998) was short lived.

#### 3.2. Comparison with Proximate Targets

Due to the similarity of circumstellar and interstellar absorption signatures, it is important to understand the distribution of interstellar material in the vicinity of our primary targets. For this reason, we observed several stars in close angular proximity and at a range of distances, in order to establish the three-dimensional structure of the ISM in the direction of our primary targets. Tables 6-9 provide the basic stellar parameters of stars proximate to our primary targets, and Figure 7 shows the location of the primary and neighboring stars in Galactic coordinates. If an absorption feature is detected toward both our primary target and a proximate star, it must be located between the Earth and the nearer of the two stars. In particular, the last two columns of Tables 6–9 give the separation of the proximate target from the primary target in angle,  $\Delta \theta$ , and in distance in the plane of the sky (POS),  $\Delta r_{\text{POS}} = d_{\text{ISM}} \tan (\Delta \theta)$ . The distance to the absorbing material,  $d_{ISM}$ , is rarely known, so the distance to the closer of the two stars is used as an upper limit,  $d_{\text{ISM}} \leq d_{\star}$ . Given the values of  $\Delta r_{\rm POS}$  in our proximate star surveys, we may be probing structure on physical scales significantly less than 1 pc, if the absorbing material is even closer than the nearest of the observed stars. The observational parameters for the proximate stars are given in Table 3.

The LISM is an interstellar environment filled with warm ( $T \sim$  7000 K), partially ionized, moderately dense ( $n \sim 0.3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) material, surrounded by a volume of hot ( $T \sim 10^6 \text{ K}$ ), rarefied ( $n \sim 0.005 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) gas known as the Local Bubble. It is relatively common to observe Ca II from the warm partially ionized clouds in the LISM (Redfield & Linsky 2002), while Na I is rarely detected within the Local Bubble but is clearly observed in the shell of dense gas that defines its boundary (Lallement et al. 2003). Since the Local Bubble shell is a large-scale interstellar structure, it is

TABLE 7 Stellar Parameters for Targets near  $\beta$  Car

HD	Other Name	Spectral Type	$m_V$ (mag)	$\frac{v_R}{(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1})}$	$v \sin i$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	l (deg)	b (deg)	Distance <sup>a</sup> (pc)	$\Delta \theta^{\rm b}$ (deg)	$\frac{\Delta r_{\rm POS}}{(\rm pc)}^{\rm c}$
71243	$\alpha$ Cha	F5 III	4.07	-13.7	0	289.9	-21.7	$19.46^{+0.19}_{-0.18}$	8.19	2.8
78045	$\alpha$ Vol	A2.5 IVm	4.00	4.9	34	282.7	-13.0	$38.11_{-0.73}^{+0.76}$	3.50	2.1
83944	m Car	B9 IV-V	4.51	20	68	281.9	-6.6	$68.6^{+2.3}_{-2.1}$	8.78	5.3
74405	$\theta$ Vol	A0 V	5.19	13		284.7	-17.1	$73.3^{+2.8}_{-2.6}$	2.98	1.8
76728	c Car	B8.5 II	3.80	25	$0^{d}$	277.7	-10.0	$95.7_{-4.1}^{+4.5}$	9.23	5.5

NOTE.—All values from SIMBAD unless otherwise noted.

<sup>a</sup> Distances calculated from *Hipparcos* parallaxes.

<sup>b</sup> Angular distance from direction of  $\beta$  Car.

<sup>2</sup> Physical separation in the plane of the sky from  $\beta$  Car, at the distance of the closest partner.

<sup>d</sup> Levato & Malaroda (1970).

HD	Other Name	Spectral Type	$m_V$ (mag)	$\frac{v_R}{(\mathrm{km}~\mathrm{s}^{-1})}$	$v \sin i$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	l (deg)	b (deg)	Distance <sup>a</sup> (pc)	$\Delta \theta^{\rm b}$ (deg)	$\Delta r_{\rm POS}$ (pc)
87504	$v^2$ Hya	B8 V	4.59	28	50	252.5	33.1	$85.0^{+6.1}_{-5.3}$	9.77	14.6
87130	PP Hya	A3 III	6.83			257.8	27.3	$108.1_{-9.9}^{+12.1}$	2.80	5.3
84367	$\theta$ Ant	A8 V+	4.79	24.0		259.9	19.1	$117.8^{+11.1}_{-9.3}$	5.77	11.9
83953	I Hya	B5 V	4.75	25.9	240	256.3	21.6	$152^{+17}_{-14}$	3.21	8
83754	к Нуа	B4 IV/V	5.05	18	150	248.7	27.8	$158_{-20}^{-17}$	8.81	22
86066	HIP 48683	A2 V	7.43			255.9	26.7	$172_{-20}^{+26}$	2.79	7

 TABLE 8

 Stellar Parameters for Targets near HD 85905

Note.-All values from SIMBAD unless otherwise noted.

<sup>a</sup> Distances calculated from *Hipparcos* parallaxes.

<sup>b</sup> Angular distance from direction of HD 85905.

<sup>c</sup> Physical separation in the plane of the sky from HD 85905, at the distance of the closest partner.

reasonable to expect that absorption from the Local Bubble will be present in all sight lines that extend beyond its boundary, at  $\sim 100$  pc. We have used the three-dimensional model of the morphology of the Local Bubble by Lallement et al. (2003) to more carefully estimate the distance to the edge of the Local Bubble in the direction of our four primary targets. This is given in the tenth column of Table 1. Two of our primary targets,  $\alpha$  Oph and  $\beta$  Car, are well within the Local Bubble and therefore are unlikely to show signatures of absorption from its shell. HD 85905 is located just outside the LB shell; therefore, the Na I spectrum is likely to show evidence of ISM absorption due to the LB shell. HR 10 is located in the direction of the southern Galactic pole. Due to the lack of dense material in directions perpendicular to the Galactic plane, the Local Bubble is relatively unconfined at its poles (Welsh et al. 1999; Lallement et al. 2003). Therefore, it is unlikely that Local Bubble material will be detected, even in the distant sight lines of HR 10 and its proximate stars.

The Ca II and Na I spectral regions for proximate stars are shown in Figures 8–11. In order to maintain small angular distances from our primary targets and to sample a range of pertinent distances, we were severely limited in choice of targets. Often we had to push toward fainter and cooler stars, resulting in lower S/N observations and some contamination by narrow stellar atmospheric lines, respectively. Nonetheless, LISM absorption is clearly detected in several (12/23, 52%) of the targets. The total observed column density and column density–weighted velocity for the proximate stars are shown in Figure 12. The weighted average values of our *primary* stars are plotted as in Figure 6 in order to make a direct comparison.

#### 3.2.1. The LISM toward $\alpha$ Oph

Inspection of Figures 8 and 12 and Table 10 indicates quite clearly that stars in close proximity to  $\alpha$  Oph show absorption in both ions at a similar velocity and strength. Indeed, the nearest star to  $\alpha$  Oph, HR 6594, only 3.8° away, matches the anomalously high Ca II and Na I column densities seen toward  $\alpha$  Oph. The projected distance between  $\alpha$  Oph and HR 6594 is only 0.9 pc, at the distance of  $\alpha$  Oph, which sets the maximum projected distance between the locations where these two sight lines probe the absorbing medium. If the absorbing medium is not as distant as  $\alpha$  Oph, the projected distance between the sight lines will be even smaller. The other proximate stars that show interstellar absorption, albeit weaker than either  $\alpha$  Oph or HR 6594, range in projected distance from  $\alpha$  Oph from 1.8 to 2.1 pc in the north-south direction. Because no absorption is detected toward HR 6541, 6.7° or 1.7 pc to the northeast, it appears that the morphology of this cloud is quite elongated in the north-south direction, similar to other clouds in the LISM (Redfield & Linsky 2007). A more detailed search would be required to fully delineate the contours of this interesting cloud.

Crawford (2001) presented a similar survey around  $\alpha$  Oph and detected absorption at the same projected velocity as  $\alpha$  Oph in two of their targets. However, these targets were located at distances of 120–211 pc, a significantly greater distance than  $\alpha$  Oph at 14.3 pc. In his ultra–high-resolution survey, severe restrictions in the brightness of the background star resulted in a survey of targets ranging in distance from 24.1 to 201 pc and in angular separation from 0.6° to 13.2°. Due to the complex morphology of the

		51	ELLAR PARA	METERS FOR IA	RGETS NEAR <b>HK</b>	10				
HD	Other Name	Spectral Type	$m_V$ (mag)	$\frac{v_R}{(\mathrm{km}~\mathrm{s}^{-1})}$	$v \sin i$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	l (deg)	b (deg)	Distance <sup>a</sup> (pc)	$\Delta \theta^{\rm b}$ (deg)	$\Delta r_{\rm POS}^{c}$ (pc)
222345	$\omega^1$ Aqr	A7 IV	4.99	-2	105	67.0	-68.9	$41.0^{+1.5}_{-1.4}$	7.34	5.3
222661	$\omega^2 \text{ Aqr}$	B9 V	4.48	3	142	67.7	-69.6	$47.3_{-1.9}^{+2.0}$	6.60	5.5
225132	2 Cet	B9 IVn	4.54	-5	200	72.1	-75.3	$69.9_{-4.2}^{+4.8}$	0.83	1.0
221565	b <sup>3</sup> Aqr	A0 V	4.71	15	180	47.9	-71.1	$98.2_{-6.7}^{+7.8}$	8.81	15.2
222847	i <sup>1</sup> Aqr	B9 V	5.24	14	310	59.2	-72.2	$101.7^{+9.9}_{-8.3}$	5.55	9.9
1064	HR 51	B9 V	5.76	16.9	124	95.1	-70.4	$106.4_{-8.2}^{+9.6}$	8.06	15.1
223466	HR 9026	A3 V	6.42	16.5	70	36.9	-76.1	$121^{+15}_{-12}$	8.92	19
1256	HR 61	B6 III/IV	6.49	19	150	72.1	-79.5	$187^{+36}_{-26}$	3.63	10

 TABLE 9

 Stellar Parameters for Targets near HR 10

NOTE.-All values from SIMBAD unless otherwise noted.

<sup>a</sup> Distances calculated from *Hipparcos* parallaxes.

<sup>b</sup> Angular distance from direction of HR 10.

<sup>c</sup> Physical separation in the plane of the sky from HR 10, at the distance of the closest partner.



Fig. 7.—Location of target stars and proximate neighbors in Galactic coordinates. The field of view is  $10^{\circ}$  in radius, centered on the primary target. The distances of the proximate stars bracket the distance of the primary target but are generally at shorter distances in order to reconstruct the three-dimensional morphology of the LISM in that direction. The stellar properties and coordinates of the proximate stars can be found in Tables 6-9.

ISM, particularly at the Local Bubble shell and beyond, which lies only ~55 pc in the direction of  $\alpha$  Oph, the observed spectra of distant targets are dominated by distant material, and one becomes in effect "confusion limited" in terms of identifying weak absorption features or separating overlapping absorption at coincident projected velocity. We limited the proximate neighbors to distances <100 pc, with only one target beyond the Local Bubble, HR 6341. Interestingly, only this distant target and HR 6594, the closest star to  $\alpha$  Oph, show Na I absorption. Although the absorption toward HR 6341 is of comparable strength, it is likely caused by the Local Bubble shell because it is significantly redshifted relative to the strong absorption toward  $\alpha$  Oph and HR 6594. Our survey, using spectra at lower resolution (but higher S/N) than Crawford (2001), was able to retain fainter targets close in both angle and distance to  $\alpha$  Oph. Although the LISM absorption toward  $\alpha$  Oph remains an outlier in comparison to other nearby stars, our minisurvey of the ISM along its line of sight indicates that the absorption is due to intervening interstellar material <14.3 pc from the Sun, rather than circumstellar material surrounding  $\alpha$  Oph.

#### 3.2.2. The LISM toward $\beta$ Car, HD 85905, and HR 10

The minisurveys of the LISM near to our remaining three targets,  $\beta$  Car, HD 85905, and HR 10, reveal that the majority of absorption observed toward these targets is unlikely to be interstellar.



FIG. 8.—Ca II (*left*) and Na I (*right*) absorption lines observed toward stars in close angular proximity to  $\alpha$  Oph. Representative spectra of  $\alpha$  Oph are provided at the top on the same scale, but with an expanded range. The vertical dotted line indicates the stellar radial velocity. Note the weak atmospheric Na I absorption in the  $\alpha$  Oph spectrum near 24.2 km s<sup>-1</sup>, well separated from the strong main absorption near -26.6 km s<sup>-1</sup>. It is clear that several (four of four in Ca II and two of five in Na I) proximate targets show absorption at a similar velocity as the main component in  $\alpha$  Oph. The Na I spectrum of HR 6541 shows signs of the difficulties in measuring weak absorption in this spectral region, including artifacts from the incomplete subtraction of the stellar photospheric Na I line at -55 km s<sup>-1</sup>, and overestimated telluric subtraction leading to "emission" spikes at -28 and -6 km s<sup>-1</sup>.



FIG. 9.—Same as Fig. 8, but for stars proximate to  $\beta$  Car. In this direction, interstellar Ca II is detected in three of five targets, and Na I detected in only one of five targets. However, the interstellar absorption is consistently redshifted with respect to the absorption detected toward  $\beta$  Car. Indeed, the closest star to  $\beta$  Car,  $\theta$  Vol, shows the largest projected velocity difference in comparison to the absorption toward  $\beta$  Car.



FIG. 10.—Same as Fig. 8, but for stars proximate to HD 85905. Ca II absorption is not detected toward any of the five targets, while Na I is detected in one of six. It is likely that the Na I absorption observed toward HD 85905 and  $\kappa$  Hya is due to interstellar absorption, even though they show a significant projected velocity difference, due to the large projected separation ( $\Delta r_{POS} \le 22$  pc) between these stars.

In the proximity of  $\beta$  Car, Na I is detected in only one target, c Car at a distance of 95.7 pc, which is also the only target predicted to be beyond the Local Bubble shell located at  $\sim$ 85 pc (Lallement et al. 2003). The two shortest sight lines,  $\alpha$  Cha and  $\alpha$  Vol, show no absorption in either ion but are not sensitive to the low column densities that are detected toward  $\beta$  Car. The star nearest in projected distance to  $\beta$  Car is  $\theta$  Vol. Absorption is detected toward this star, but the central velocity  $(16.5 \text{ km s}^{-1})$  is significantly different from that observed toward  $\beta$  Car (2.4 km s<sup>-1</sup>). Indeed, all absorption detections in proximate neighbors are redshifted by 7-14.1 km s<sup>-1</sup>. Figure 7 indicates that since common absorption is detected toward m Car and  $\theta$  Vol, which bracket  $\beta$  Car and  $\alpha$  Vol, it is likely that the interstellar material responsible for the absorption is located 38–69 pc away, between  $\alpha$  Vol and m Car. Observations of stars proximate to  $\beta$  Car indicate that the absorption observed toward  $\beta$  Car is not caused by the LISM.

A significant Ca II column density is detected toward HD 85905. None of the observed neighboring stars show any Ca II absorption, despite that the column density upper limits are 1.4– 8.7 times lower than the column observed toward HD 85905. The same is true for Na I, where the upper limits are 1.3-3.2 times lower. One target,  $\kappa$  Hya at a distance of 158 pc, does show Na I absorption. Half of the neighboring stars, as well as HD 85905 itself, are located beyond the predicted Local Bubble shell, which is located at  $\sim$ 120 pc in this direction (Lallement et al. 2003). The two distant neighboring stars that are closest in angular distance from HD 85905, I Hya and HIP 48683, do not show any indication of Local Bubble shell absorption. However, HD 85905 itself has a constant Na1 feature, at a velocity significantly different than the Can absorption. It is possible that this absorption is due to the Local Bubble shell. However, this requires a patchy morphology of Local Bubble shell material in this direction because HD

85905's nearest neighbors show no Na I absorption and the absorption toward the third distant neighbor is at a different velocity than observed toward HD 85905. Regardless of the exact nature of the Na I absorption, it is clear that the Ca II absorption cannot be explained by ISM absorption.

Similar to HD 85905, the large Ca II column density observed toward HR 10 is not detected in the proximate stars, despite column density upper limits 1.2-25 times lower than observed toward HR 10. Very weak Na1 absorption is detected both in HR 10 and in neighboring stars. Since HR 10 is in the direction of the south Galactic pole and the Local Bubble is relatively unconstrained in this direction (Lallement et al. 2003), no strong Local Bubble absorption is expected. We do start to detect weak absorption at distances >70 pc, but the velocity and strength of absorption vary across the  $10^{\circ}$  radius survey area. Three targets show absorption near  $-20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (2 Cet, b<sup>3</sup> Aqr, and HR 9026), and two show absorption near 0 km s<sup>-1</sup> (i<sup>1</sup> Aqr and HR 51). Some of the Na1 detected toward HR 10 may be interstellar, but the variability of the Na1 absorption toward HR 10 indicates that much of it is probably circumstellar. Again, it is clear that the Ca II absorption detected toward HR 10 is not caused by the ISM along the line of sight.

# 3.3. Ca II-to-Na I Ratio

The ratio of Ca II to Na I has been used as a means of discriminating between interstellar and circumstellar material (e.g., Lagrange-Henri et al. 1990b). ISM values are typically low, while those observed toward  $\beta$  Pic are much higher, such as  $N(\text{Ca II})/N(\text{Na I}) = 38.9 \pm 10.9$ , as measured by Hobbs et al. (1985), or even >100, as seen by Welsh et al. (1997). However, other than for extremely high values (i.e., >50), it is difficult to differentiate between circumstellar and interstellar material based on



FIG. 11.—Same as Fig. 8, but for stars proximate to HR 10. No Ca  $\pi$  absorption is detected toward any of six targets, while Na  $\pi$  is detected in five of eight targets at two different velocities: near  $-20 \text{ km s}^{-2}$  and near  $0 \text{ km s}^{-2}$ . Our detection limits in Ca  $\pi$  are significantly lower than the detected absorption in Ca  $\pi$  toward HR 10, emphasizing its circumstellar origin.

this abundance ratio alone. In the ISM, we see a wide range of Ca II-to-Na I ratios, some approaching the "high" values seen toward  $\beta$  Pic. Welty et al. (1996) compile a large sample of ISM measurements, which are dominated by distant sight lines (out to 1 kpc), and find a wide range of Ca II-to-Na I ratios, from  $\sim 0.003$ to  $\sim$ 50. Even locally, a wide range of values are measured. For example, Bertin et al. (1993) found eight stars within 50 pc that showed both Ca II and Na I absorption. Excluding  $\alpha$  Oph, the ratio of Call to Nal ranges from 2.2 to 11.9. In general, calcium appears to be more strongly affected by depletion onto dust grains than sodium (Savage & Sembach 1996). Long sight lines likely sample a wide range of interstellar environments, from cold, dense regions where a significant amount of calcium will be depleted onto dust grains, leading to very low Ca II-to-Na I ratios, to warm, shocked regions, in which much of the calcium is maintained in the gas phase and the abundance ratio can be quite high.

Table 10 includes the N(Ca II)/N(Na I) ratios for all our circumstellar and interstellar observations. For the three interstellar sight lines that have both Ca II and Na I detections (HR 6594, HR 6341, and c Car), the ratio ranges from 0.4 to 5.4. Our circumstellar disk candidates range from 3.9 to 46. HR 10, clearly a variable absorption edge-on disk, has an abundance ratio  $N(\text{Ca II})/N(\text{Na I}) = 46^{+23}_{-17}$  at the very high end of the range, comparable with  $\beta$  Pic. However, the other three edge-on disk candidates fall well within that found for LISM sight lines (Bertin et al. 1993). Object  $\alpha$  Oph, which we argue is not an edge-on disk, but a particularly small,

high column density local cloud, has a moderately high Ca  $\pi$ to-Na  $\pi$  ratio but is consistent with other LISM sight lines. On the other hand, our other two edge-on disk stars, HD 85905 and HR 10, which show variability and little indication from neighboring sight lines that they are significantly contaminated by ISM absorption, have relatively high Ca  $\pi$ -to-Na  $\pi$  ratios, but not extreme enough to clearly differentiate from the general ISM, on the basis of the ratio of Ca  $\pi$  to Na  $\pi$  alone. Note that due to the possibility that some of the constant Na  $\pi$  absorption observed toward HD 85905 may be interstellar, the Ca  $\pi$ -to-Na  $\pi$  ratio given in Table 10 should be considered a lower limit to the circumstellar ratio of these two ions.

# 3.4. Estimates of Physical Properties of the Variable Circumstellar Gas

The observed temporal variability and lack of comparable absorption in proximate neighbors demonstrate that most of the absorption detected toward our three edge-on disk targets ( $\beta$  Car, HD 85905, and HR 10) is due to circumstellar material. These targets have high v sin i velocities and are likely viewed edge-on. Since no circumstellar absorption is detected in rapidly rotating intermediate inclination or pole-on debris disks (e.g., Vega and  $\alpha$  PsA; Hobbs 1986), it is likely that the circumstellar gas is distributed in an edge-on disk. An edge-on disk morphology is confirmed in infrared and scattered light observations of  $\beta$  Pic (Smith & Terrile 1984; Heap et al. 2000). However, the short- and



FIG. 12.—Total column density (*left*) and column density–weighted velocity (*right*) measurements of absorption toward proximate stars as a function of their distance. The filled symbols indicate Ca II observations, while open symbols indicate Na I. The error bars are the weighted average variance and in the case of  $\langle v \rangle_N$  indicate the range of observed velocities rather than the error in measuring the central velocity of absorption. The horizontal dotted line in the right panels indicates the radial velocity of the star. The shaded regions indicate the weighted mean and weighted average variance of the absorption detected in the primary target, as presented in Fig. 6, where blue corresponds to Ca II and red corresponds to Na I. The data are also presented in Table 10.

long-term temporal variability in our three edge-on disk targets demonstrates that the distribution of material in the gas disk is clumpy.

Our observations are unable to constrain independently the physical size of the absorbing gas structure or its density, other than that the absorbing material is presumably very close to the host star in order to cause the observed short-term temporal variability. Since we do not see a particularly stable component centered at the radial velocity of the star, it is unlikely that the gas is smoothly distributed in any extended disk structure, as observed in the stable component of  $\beta$  Pic by Brandeker et al. (2004). Instead, it is likely that the absorbing gas is located between approximately 0.3 and 1.0 AU (Lagrange et al. 2000), and the maximum path length is on the order of ~1 AU. If the gas absorption is caused by star-grazing families of evaporating bodies as in the FEB model (Beust 1994), the path length through a gaseous comalike structure could be significantly less. Although comet comae can reach sizes approaching ~1 AU (Jones et al. 2000), observations of nonblack saturated variable absorption lines toward  $\beta$  Pic indicate that the absorbing material does not cover the entire

HD	Other Name	$\langle v \rangle_{Ca II}^{a}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	$\frac{\log N_{\rm Ca \pi}{}^{\rm b}}{\rm (cm^{-2})}$	$\langle v \rangle_{\mathrm{NaI}}^{a}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	$\frac{\log N_{\mathrm{Na}i}}{(\mathrm{cm}^{-2})}^{\mathrm{b}}$	Са п/Na 1
159561	$\alpha$ Oph	$-25.8\pm0.3$	$11.53\pm0.03$	$-26.6\pm0.6$	$10.59\pm0.04$	$8.7 \pm 1.0$
165777	72 Oph	$-30.6\pm5.7$	$10.03\pm0.47$		<10.7	>0.2
160910	HR 6594	$-25.0\pm3.2$	$11.50\pm0.10$	$-23.6 \pm 1.2$	$10.77\pm0.06$	$5.4^{+1.6}_{-1.3}$
159332	HR 6541				<10.4	
154494	60 Her	$-20.3\pm2.8$	$10.62 \pm 0.11$		<10.7	>0.8
154228	HR 6341	$-18.2 \pm 1.5$	$10.78 \pm 0.16$	$-18.1 \pm 2.7$	$10.78\pm0.02$	$1.0^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$
80007	$\beta$ Car	$2.4\pm0.9$	$10.47\pm0.07$	$3.1\pm7.5$	$9.88 \pm 0.07$	$3.9^{+1.0}_{-0.8}$
71243	$\alpha$ Cha		<11.4		<11.1	
78045	$\alpha$ Vol		<11.3		<10.9	
83944	m Car	$9.4 \pm 1.2$	$10.85\pm0.09$		<11.3	>0.4
74405	$\theta$ Vol	$16.5 \pm 9.1$	$11.04 \pm 0.11$		<10.6	>2.8
76728	c Car	$11.6\pm0.5$	$10.35\pm0.16$	$12.3\pm1.1$	$10.70\pm0.05$	$0.4^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$
85905	HR 3921	$18.0\pm2.4$	$11.74 \pm 0.15$	$8.2\pm0.6$	$10.91\pm0.07$	$6.8_{-2.2}^{+3.0}$
87504	$v^2$ Hya		<11.1		<10.4	
87130	PP Hya		<11.6		<10.6	
84367	$\theta$ Ant				<10.5	
83953	I Hya		<10.8		<10.8	
83754	$\kappa$ Hya		<11.4	$18.6\pm0.7$	$11.15\pm0.03$	<1.8
86066	HIP 48683		<11.6		<10.7	
256	HR 10	$-13.0\pm6.8$	$12.09\pm0.14$	$-6.5\pm8.3$	$10.43\pm0.12$	$46^{+23}_{-17}$
222345	$\omega^1$ Aqr		<12.0		<10.4	
222661	$\omega^2$ Aqr		<11.0		<10.4	
225132	2 Cet		<11.1	$-16.8\pm1.1$	$10.18\pm0.08$	<8.3
221565	b <sup>3</sup> Aqr		<10.7	$-19.2\pm0.3$	$10.07\pm0.10$	<4.3
222847	i <sup>1</sup> Aqr		<11.1	$-2.8\pm6.6$	$10.52\pm0.06$	<3.8
1064	HR 51		<10.9	$1.64\pm1.5$	$10.32\pm0.10$	<3.8
223466	HR 9026			$-26.2\pm7.4$	$10.56\pm0.06$	
1256	HR 61				<10.8	

TABLE 10 Absorption-Line Properties

<sup>a</sup> Column density–weighted mean velocity, and an "error" that is the weighted average variance, essentially the range of velocities in which significant absorption is present. The error in determining the velocity of any given absorption is typically  $0.1-2.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , depending on the spectral resolution of the observations.

<sup>b</sup> Total column density using AOD technique.

stellar surface and is likely to have a path length significantly less than 1 AU (Vidal-Madjar et al. 1994). An upper limit to the amount of variable absorbing gas around  $\beta$  Car, HD 85905, and HR 10 can be estimated if we assume that it is distributed in a disk with an inner radius of  $l_1 = 0.3$  AU and an outer radius of  $l_2 = 1.0$  AU. The inner radius ( $l_1$ ) is calculated at approximately 0.3 AU, due to the sublimation of most types of grains at distances closer to the host star (Mann et al. 2006; Vidal-Madjar et al. 1986).

In order to convert our observable,  $N_{Ca\,II}$ , to a hydrogen column density, we use the abundances measured for the stable component of the disk around  $\beta$  Pic (Roberge et al. 2006), where the ratio  $N(H_{I})/N(Ca\,II) \leq 2.4 \times 10^6$  is based on  $\beta$  Pic Ca II measurements by Crawford et al. (1994) and H I limits by Freudling et al. (1995). The observed Ca II column density is assumed to be caused by circumstellar material only. In this crude upper limit estimate, we assume the largest and simplest configuration of gas closest to the star causing the variable gas absorption. The precise distribution of hydrogen gas in the circumstellar disk is still highly uncertain (Brandeker et al. 2004).

If we assume that the morphology of the disk is roughly cylindrical, the total mass in the gas disk can be calculated from

$$M_{\rm gas} \sim m_{\rm H} \frac{N_{\rm Ca\, II}}{(l_2 - l_1)} \frac{N_{\rm H\, I}}{N_{\rm Ca\, II}} \pi h (l_2^2 - l_1^2) \quad (g), \qquad (3)$$

where  $m_{\rm H}$  is the mass of a hydrogen atom and *h* is the height of the disk and assumed to be equal to  $0.2l_2$  (Hobbs et al. 1985).

Given the assumptions above, we calculate an upper limit to the total gas mass of the variable component toward  $\beta$  Car of  $M_{\rm gas} \leq 4 \times 10^{-9} \ M_{\oplus}$ , toward HD 85905 of  $M_{\rm gas} \leq 7 \times 10^{-8} \ M_{\oplus}$ , and toward HR 10 of  $M_{\rm gas} \leq 2 \times 10^{-7} \ M_{\oplus}$ . In units of  $M_{\rm Halley} = 10^{17} \ {\rm g}$  (Whipple 1987), the upper limits on the variable gas component mass would be  $9000M_{\rm Halley}$ ,  $4000M_{\rm Halley}$ , and  $200M_{\rm Halley}$ , respectively. Note that due to the lack of constraints on the distribution of the absorbing material, these are likely upper limits to the total amount of variable component gas surrounding these stars.

# 4. DETECTIONS AND CONSTRAINTS ON CIRCUMSTELLAR DISK DUST

The IR SEDs for all four sources are shown in the left panels of Figures 13–16. These SEDs include *B*, *V*, *J*, *H*, and *K* bands and IRAC and MIPS photometric data (*blue filled circles*), as well as IRS and MIPS SED spectra (*black lines*). Sources  $\alpha$  Oph and  $\beta$  Car are detected at all bands except 160  $\mu$ m, while HR 10 and HD 85905 are not detected at 70 or 160  $\mu$ m. HR 10 and HD 85905 are also undetected in the IRS LH module, and upper limits, equal to  $3 \times \text{rms}$ , are calculated for each order. The upper limits for MIPS 70  $\mu$ m are more constraining than are the upper limits for the LH ~19–37  $\mu$ m data, so the latter are not used for fitting the excess. All four sources appear photospheric in all detected bands, so the upper limits for the 70 and/or 160  $\mu$ m MIPS bands are used to place upper limits on the temperature and amount of dust that may exist in disks around these stars. All optical and IR photometric measurements used in the SEDs are given in Table 11.



FIG. 13.—SED (*left*) and (SED – star)/star (*right*) for  $\alpha$  Oph. Photometry from *B*, *V*, *J*, *H*, and *K* bands and IRAC and MIPS are shown as blue filled circles, with black error bars overlaid. IRS and MIPS SED spectra are shown in black. Next Gen models of stellar photospheres specified by spectral type are overlaid in green. Blackbody fits to the maximum hypothetical excess still allowed by our observations are depicted by red dashed lines.

In order to model a debris disk around our primary targets, we must estimate several stellar parameters, such as effective temperature  $(T_{\star})$ , luminosity  $(L_{\star})$ , radius  $(R_{\star})$ , gravity (log g), mass  $(M_{\star})$ , and age. The parameters used for our models are listed in Table 12. Two of our primary targets,  $\alpha$  Oph and  $\beta$  Car, have excellent temperature and radius measurements, and therefore well-determined luminosities, since they have been observed from the ultraviolet to the IR, and also have radio angular diameter measurements and accurate distances (Code et al. 1976; Beeckmans 1977; Malagnini et al. 1986; Richichi et al. 2005). Stellar parameters for our other two primary targets, HD 85905 and HR 10, are calculated using relations from Napiwotzki et al. (1993) and Flower (1996). The spectral types were used to estimate the gravity and stellar mass. The age of these systems was estimated using isochrones from Bertelli et al. (1994).

The observed MIPS fluxes (and upper limits) and modeled stellar spectra are used to constrain the amount of excess at dust temperatures comparable to the asteroid belt ( $T_{dust} = 150-250$  K) and Kuiper Belt ( $T_{dust} = 30-60$  K), using the method described by Bryden et al. (2006). Assuming that the dust in the debris disk



FIG. 14.—SED (*left*) and (SED – star)/star (*right*) for  $\beta$  Car. Symbols are the same as in Fig. 13.



FIG. 15.—SED (*left*) and (SED – star)/star (*right*) for HD 85905. Symbols are the same as in Fig. 13. IRS LH spectrum was not detected, and a single upper limit equal to  $3 \times \text{rms}$  is plotted for each order. MIPS SED data are outside the plotted region in the right panel.

is well represented by a single temperature, then the ratio of the observed flux relative to the stellar flux can be used to calculate the ratio of the total dust disk luminosity relative to the stellar luminosity on the Rayleigh-Jeans tail of the stellar blackbody curve,

$$\frac{L_{\rm dust}}{L_{\star}} = \frac{F_{\rm dust}}{F_{\star}} \frac{kT_{\rm dust}^4 \left(e^{h\nu/kT_{\rm dust}} - 1\right)}{h\nu T_{\star}^3}.$$
 (4)

Using temperatures of 100, 35, and 15 K, to correspond to blackbody curves peaking at 24, 70, and 160  $\mu$ m, we use the following simplified expression to calculate  $L_{dust}/L_{\star}$ :

$$\frac{L_{\text{dust}}}{L_{\star}} = \frac{F_{\lambda,\text{dust}}}{F_{\lambda,\star}} \left(\frac{5600 \text{ K}}{T_{\star}}\right)^3 C_{\lambda},\tag{5}$$

where  $C_{\lambda}$  is a constant that is dependent on the temperature of the dust ( $T_{\rm dust}$ ) and wavelength and equal to  $3.7 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ , and  $1.3 \times 10^{-6}$  for 24, 70, and 160  $\mu$ m, respectively. The flux ratios and resulting  $L_{\rm dust}/L_{\star}$  estimates are listed in Table 13.



FIG. 16.—SED (*left*) and (SED – star)/star (*right*) for HR 10. Symbols are the same as in Fig. 13. IRS LH spectrum was not detected, and a single upper limit equal to  $3 \times \text{rms}$  is plotted for each order. MIPS SED data are outside the plotted region in the right panel.

	TABLE 11 IR Flux Measurements											
Source	B (mag)	V (mag)	J (mag)	H (mag)	K (mag)	F <sub>3.6</sub> (mJy)	<i>F</i> <sub>4.5</sub> (mJy)	<i>F</i> <sub>5.8</sub> (mJy)	<i>F</i> <sub>8.0</sub> (mJy)	<i>F</i> <sub>24</sub> (mJy)	F <sub>70</sub> (mJy)	<i>F</i> <sub>160</sub> (mJy)
$\alpha$ Oph	2.22(3)	2.07(3)	1.75(4)	1.67(3)	1.64(3)	Sat.	Sat.	2.5E+4(2)	1.5E+4(1)	1.4E+3(1)	1.5E+2(3)	<5.9E+2
$\beta$ Car	1.68(1)	1.68(2)	1.55(2)	1.55(1)	1.53(1)	Sat.	Sat.	2.9E+4(3)	1.5E+4(2)	1.6E+3(2)	1.9E+2(4)	<6.0E+2
HD 85905	6.27(1)	6.23(1)	6.05(2)	6.04(3)	6.04(2)	1.2E+03(1)	6.6E+02(7)	4.9E+2(5)	2.6E+2(3)	2.7E+1(3)	$<\!\!4.7E+0$	<2.3E+1
HR 10	6.33(1)	6.23(1)	5.86(2)	5.83(3)	5.75(3)	1.6E+03(2)	8.0E+02(8)	5.7E+2(6)	3.2E+2(3)	3.3E+1(3)	<4.7E+0	<2.6E+1

NOTES.-The numbers in parentheses note the error in the last significant digit. B and V photometric data are from SIMBAD. Near-IR J, H, K fluxes are from the 2 Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) for HD 85905 and HR 10, from Alonso et al. (1994) for  $\alpha$  Oph, and from Bouchet et al. (1991) for  $\beta$  Car.

Equation (5) is used to calculate upper limits for  $L_{dust}/L_{\star}$  for regions of temperatures corresponding to the 24, 70, and 160  $\mu$ m photometry. For the entire sample, we can limit  $L_{dust}/L_{\star}$  to less than ~5000 times that of the asteroid belt  $(L_{dust}/L_{\star} \sim 10^{-8} \text{ to})$  $10^{-7}$ ; Dermott et al. 2002), by using the 24  $\mu$ m fluxes ( $T \approx 100$  K), and we can limit  $L_{dust}/L_{\star}$  to less than ~18-30 times that of the Kuiper Belt ( $L_{dust}/L_{\star} \sim 10^{-7}$  to  $10^{-6}$ ; Stern 1996), by using the 70  $\mu$ m fluxes ( $T \approx 35$  K). For material at lower temperatures than the Kuiper Belt, we can constrain  $L_{dust}/L_{\star}$  to less than  $\sim 26-66$  times

that of the Kuiper Belt, using the 160  $\mu$ m fluxes ( $T \approx 15$  K). The fractional excess emission [ $(F_{\nu}^{tot} - F_{\nu}^{star})/F_{\nu}^{star}$ ] is plotted in the right panels of Figures 13–16. The stellar photospheres are fitted using NextGen model atmospheres (Hauschildt et al. 1999) matching the stellar parameters listed in Table 12 and scaled to match the J-band flux taken from the literature, as the SEDs are clearly photospheric at 1.2  $\mu$ m. By making some assumptions about the distribution of the dust contributing to the excess, we can also calculate limits on the luminosity of dust in these disks. Once the stellar contribution has been removed, a blackbody function is fitted to the excess from  $\lambda = 1$  to 160  $\mu$ m. As we are interested in calculating the maximum possible excess in these fits, upper limits are ignored if there is a detection or upper limit at longer wavelength with a smaller fractional excess. Fitting the excess with blackbody function implies that the dust contributing to the excess lies in a ring, of approximately constant temperature ( $T_{\text{dust}}$ ), at a corresponding distance,  $D = \frac{1}{2} (T_{\star}/T_{\text{dust}})^2 R_{\star}$ , from the star. The results of the fit, listed in Table 14, are the temperature of the blackbody dust  $(T_{dust})$ , the solid angle subtended by the dust ( $\Omega$ ), and the reduced  $\chi^2$  for each source. The excess infrared luminosity is calculated as  $L_{\rm IR} = 4\Omega\sigma T_{\rm dust}^4 d^2$ , where d is the distance in centimeters to each source and  $\sigma$  is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant. All four sources possess fractional luminosities, listed in Table 14, of  $L_{\rm IR}/L_{\star} \leq 5 \times 10^{-6}$ , consistent with or less than the fractional luminosities calculated above and similar to the least luminous of known debris disks (Chen et al. 2006).

In order to place limits on the mass of dust surrounding these stars, we need to make some assumptions about the dust properties (for a detailed description see Chen et al. 2006). First, for simplicity, we assume that the dust is composed primarily of silicates, with a corresponding bulk density ( $\rho_s$ ) of 3.3 g cm<sup>-3</sup>. (Note

TABLE 12 ESTIMATED STELLAR PARAMETERS

Source	<i>Т</i> <sub>*</sub> (К)	$L_{\star}$ $(L_{\odot})$	$R_{\star}$ $(R_{\odot})$	$\log g$	$M_{\star}$ $(M_{\odot})$	log (age) (yr)
$\alpha$ Oph	7980	22.6	2.5	3.8	2.7	9.0
$\beta$ Car	9280	220.5	5.8	3.6	3.6	8.6
HD 85905	8840	51.8	3.1	3.3	3.6	8.8
HR 10	8370	63.8	3.8	4.1	2.5	8.9

that changing the dust composition to include carbon or silica grains would change the overall bulk density slightly to  $\rho_s = 3.5$ and 2.3 g cm<sup>-3</sup> for carbon and silica grains, respectively.) Next, we assume that radiation pressure removes grains smaller than  $a_{\min,0}$ , thus setting the minimum grain size. Therefore, we can calculate the mass of small grains with radii equal to  $a_{\min,0}$ , using the relation  $M_{\text{dust}} = (16/3)\pi (L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\star})\rho_s D^2 a_{\min,0}$  (see Chen et al. 2006, eq. [5]). This is a lower limit to the dust surrounding these stars. An upper limit to the mass of dust can be found if we assume that the grain sizes follow the distribution  $n_0 a^{-3.5}$  with a maximum radius of  $a_{\text{max}} = 10$  cm and using the relation  $M_{10 \text{ cm}} = (4/3)\rho_s(a_{\min,0}a_{\max})^{1/2}d^2\Omega$  (see Chen et al. 2006, eq. [6]), where d is the distance in centimeters to the observed star. As shown in Table 14, the masses of material contributing to the measured excesses from these four disks are not particularly small, ranging from  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  to 2  $M_{\oplus}$ . One must note, however, that this is the mass of dust located at very large radii,  $D \sim 1000-2400$  AU from the star. The lack of significant excess at shorter wavelengths, and thus smaller radii, suggests that much of the inner regions of these systems have been effectively cleared, which would increase the difficulty of feeding the variable gas material that is observed around three of these stars,  $\beta$  Car, HD 85905, and HR 10 (see § 3.1).

### 5. CONSTRAINTS ON CIRCUMSTELLAR BULK DISK GAS

We searched for several IR bulk gas-phase atomic lines, including Ne II, Ne III, Fe I, Fe II, S I, and Si II, as well as four rovibrational transitions of molecular hydrogen,  $H_2 S(0) - S(4)$ . Unfortunately, none were detected. Upper limits are presented in Tables 15 and 16 ( $3 \times \text{rms} \times \text{FWHM}$ , where FWHM is the expected full width at half-maximum of an atomic emission line). Upper limits for the masses of gas in these disks are calculated from the  $H_2$  S(0) and  $H_2$  S(1) line upper limits, assuming local thermal equilibrium (LTE) and using excitation temperatures of  $T_{\rm ex} = 50$  and 100 K, which are appropriate if the dust and gas are roughly cospatial. The total column density of H<sub>2</sub> gas can be computed as

$$N_{\rm tot} = \frac{F_{ul}\lambda_{ul}}{A_{ul}hc\chi_u}\frac{4\pi}{\Omega} \quad (\rm cm^{-2}), \tag{6}$$

where  $\lambda_{ul}$ ,  $F_{ul}$ , and  $A_{ul}$  are the wavelength in centimeters, observed flux upper limit in units of ergs  $s^{-1}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, and Einstein A-coefficient in units of  $s^{-1}$  for the transition, respectively,  $\Omega$  is the beam solid angle in steradians, and  $\chi_u$  is the fractional column density of H<sub>2</sub> in the upper level,

$$\chi_u = \frac{N_u}{N_{\text{tot}}} = \frac{(2J+1)g_N}{Z(T_{\text{ex}})} e^{-E_u/kT_{\text{ex}}}.$$
 (7)

TABLE 13	
CONSTRAINTS ON EXCESS LUMINOSITY FROM H	Photometry

	24 µm			$70 \ \mu m$			160 $\mu$ m			
Source	$F_{\star}$	$F_{\mathrm{MIPS}}/F_{\star}$	$L_{\rm dust}/L_{\star}$	$F_{\star}$	$F_{\mathrm{MIPS}}/F_{\star}$	$L_{\rm dust}/L_{\star}$	$F_{\star}$	$F_{\mathrm{MIPS}}/F_{\star}$	$L_{\rm dust}/L_{\star}$	
α Oph	1.5	0.95	2.5E-4	0.17	0.89	9.4E-06	3.4E-2	<18	<1.6E-05	
$\beta$ Car	1.7	0.92	2.1E-4	0.20	0.97	8.8E-06	3.9E-2	<16	<1.2E-05	
HD 85905	2.8E - 2	0.97	2.3E-4	3.2E-3	<1.5	<1.4E-05	6.3E-4	<38	<3.1E-05	
HR 10	3.3E-2	1.01	2.5E-4	3.8E-3	<1.2	<1.2E-05	7.4E-4	<35	<3.1E-05	

For H<sub>2</sub> S(0),  $A_{ul} = 2.94 \times 10^{-11} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $E_u/k = 510 \text{ K}$ , and for H<sub>2</sub> S(1),  $A_{ul} = 4.76 \times 10^{-10} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $E_u/k = 1015 \text{ K}$  (Wolniewicz et al. 1998). As transitions are restricted to either ortho (parallel nuclear spin) or para (antiparallel nuclear spin) states, if we assume ortho/para  $\approx 3$ , then  $g_N = 1$  for para transitions, such as H<sub>2</sub> S(0), and  $g_N = 3$  for ortho transitions, such as H<sub>2</sub> S(1). Finally, the total mass of H<sub>2</sub> in the disk can be calculated,

$$M_{\rm tot} = N_{\rm tot} m_{\rm H_2} \Omega d^2 \quad (g), \tag{8}$$

where  $m_{\rm H_2}$  is the molecular weight of H<sub>2</sub> in grams and *d* is the distance to the disk in centimeters. The upper limits for the total column densities of H<sub>2</sub> are listed in the last four columns of Table 16. The cold gas mass constraints are  $<2-100 M_{\oplus}$  for T = 100 K and  $<200-1 \times 10^6 M_{\oplus}$  for T = 50 K. Note that the H<sub>2</sub> *S*(1) line is located in a particularly noisy region of the SH spectrum, and thus upper limits are much higher than for H<sub>2</sub> *S*(0).

The atomic sulfur line (S 1) at 25.23  $\mu$ m may be a more sensitive tracer of gas mass in low-mass disks than H<sub>2</sub>. Gorti & Hollenbach (2004) find that for disks around G and K stars, with gas masses of 10<sup>-3</sup> to 1  $M_J$  and dust masses of 10<sup>-7</sup> to 10<sup>-4</sup>  $M_J$ , the strength of the S 1 emission line can be up to 1000 times that of the H<sub>2</sub> *S*(0) line, if both lines are optically thin. Using a line strength for H<sub>2</sub> *S*(0) of 1000 times less than the observed S 1 upper limits, we find that the gas mass could be ~500 times less than the values calculated from H<sub>2</sub> *S*(0) for  $\alpha$  Oph and  $\beta$  Car. No estimates can be made for HD 85905 and HR 10, since these sources were not detected in the LH IRS module, which contains both the S 1 and H<sub>2</sub> *S*(0) lines.

# 6. DISCUSSION

#### 6.1. Circumstellar or Interstellar?

Due to the similarity in spectral profile, a single spectrum is often not sufficient to distinguish whether the absorption is caused by circumstellar or interstellar material, or both. Several techniques have been used to determine the source of absorption: (1) Observations of stars in close angular proximity and similar distance to the primary target can be used to reconstruct the ISM along the line of sight to the primary target (e.g., Crawford 2001). (2) Repeated observations of the primary target can be used to search for short-term absorption variability that is not observed in the large-scale structures of the ISM (e.g., Lagrange-Henri et al. 1990a; Petterson & Tobin 1999). (3) Observations of transitions, such as metastable lines, that are not observed in the relatively low density ISM can be used to indicate the presence of circumstellar material (e.g., Kondo & Bruhweiler 1985; Hobbs et al. 1988).

We present results using techniques 1 and 2. The monitoring campaign to search for temporal variability and minisurveys of stars in close angular proximity to our primary targets clearly indicate that three of our four targets are surrounded by circumstellar material. Temporal variability is detected in our observations of  $\beta$  Car, HD 85905, and HR 10, confirming detections of variation in these objects by Lagrange-Henri et al. (1990a), Welsh et al. (1998), and Hempel & Schmitt (2003). In addition, our survey of the ISM in the direction of these targets indicates that little to none of the absorption can be attributed to the ISM. Although it has been speculated that the anomalously high absorption toward  $\alpha$  Oph could be caused by circumstellar material, we use nearby stars to firmly identify the interstellar material that is responsible for the absorption toward  $\alpha$  Oph, confirming a similar study of more distant stars by Crawford (2001). Future work will entail looking for absorption from metastable lines for our three targets that show evidence for circumstellar gas.

#### 6.2. $\beta$ Pic-like Debris Disk or Be Star-like Stellar Wind Disk?

The origin of the circumstellar gas in edge-on systems that show absorption-line variability is a long-standing question. The systems studied in this work may qualify as either weak debris disk systems that currently have variable gas located very close to the star but very little dust, or weak winded, rapidly rotating, early-type stars that expel gas and form disks similar to classical Be stars.

The objects studied are relatively mature systems, older than  $\beta$  Pic (which is ~12 Myr), but roughly contemporaneous with Vega (0.4 Gyr) and  $\epsilon$  Eri (0.6 Gyr) (Zuckerman 2001). Although most stars at this age have cleared their stellar systems of primordial disk material, several are still in the evolutionary transition

			fable 14			
CONSTRAINTS	ON	Excess	LUMINOSITY	FROM	COMPLETE	SED

Source	T <sub>dust</sub> (K)	$\Omega (10^{-14} \text{ sr}^{-1})$	BB Min. $\chi^2$	$L_{\mathrm{IR}}/L_{\star}$	D (AU)	$\langle a \rangle$ ( $\mu$ m)	$M_{ m dust} \ (M_\oplus)$	$M_{10  m \ cm} \ (M_\oplus)$
α Oph	$21 \pm 2$	$300\pm200$	8.8	2.9E-06	900	3.2	6.7E-4	0.15
$\beta$ Car	$22 \pm 2$	$200\pm200$	5.3	1.7E-06	2400	24	2.0E-2	1.7
HD 85905	$22 \pm 5$	$9\pm7$	23	4.8E-06	1100	5.5	3.2E-3	0.55
HR 10	$20\pm4$	$17 \pm 6$	18	6.1E-06	1600	9.8	1.4E-2	1.8

Note.—Although no significant excess flux was detected, tight constraints on the fractional luminosity and other dust properties are made for the maximum hypothetical excess that is still consistent with our IR upper limits.

969
,,,,,

Source	Ne II 12.814 $\mu$ m (ergs s <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Ne III 15.56 $\mu$ m (ergs s <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Fe I 24.042 $\mu$ m (ergs s <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Fe II 17.936 $\mu$ m (ergs s <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Fe II 25.988 $\mu$ m (ergs s <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> )	S I 25.249 $\mu$ m (ergs s <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Si II 34.820 $\mu$ m (ergs s <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> )				
$\alpha$ Oph	<2.24E-13	<8.62E-14	<1.61E-14	<4.69E-14	<1.08E-14	<1.12E-14	<4.73E-15				
$\beta$ Car	<2.49E-13	<9.54E-14	<1.67E-14	<5.19E-14	<1.16E-14	<1.18E-14	<5.12E-15				
HD 85905	<4.38E-15	<1.77E-15		<1.11E-15							
HR 10	<5.67E-15	<2.34E-15		<1.53E-15							

 TABLE 15

 Constraints on Atomic Line Fluxes from IRS

period where they have retained a significant amount of secondary dust and gas in their circumstellar surroundings.

The prototypical debris disks mentioned above all have IR excesses, further evidence that the circumstellar material is processed from a protostellar disk. In addition, the one system that is oriented edge-on ( $\beta$  Pic) also shows gas absorption. Our targets are all A stars similar to  $\beta$  Pic, and three of the four show gas absorption at levels lower than or comparable to  $\beta$  Pic [e.g.,  $N_{\text{Ca II}}(\text{HR 10})/N_{\text{Ca II}}(\beta \text{ Pic}) \sim 0.65]$ . However, the fractional luminosities caused by an infrared excess consistent with upper limits of the SEDs of our targets are lower than  $\beta$  Pic by more than 2-3 orders of magnitude (Backman & Paresce 1993). No stable gas component located at the stellar radial velocity is detected in our targets, which, since for  $\beta$  Pic the stable gas appears to be associated with the bulk dust disk (Brandeker et al. 2004), is consistent with our nondetection of any infrared excess. However, there remains the difficulty of feeding a variable gas component, most probably by multitudes of star-grazing planetesimal small bodies, without creating an observable secondary dust disk through collisions of the same bodies.

On the other hand, rapidly rotating B stars with strong radiatively driven winds deposit a significant amount of gas into their circumstellar environments. These B stars often have strong emission lines (e.g., hydrogen) and hence are classified as Be stars. The "Be" phenomenon has also been observed in some early A stars and late O stars but peaks at spectral types B1-B2 (Porter & Rivinius 2003). A stars can power weak radiatively driven winds, but the mass-loss rates are significantly smaller,  $\dot{M} < 10^{-16} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , and only metals are expelled (Babel 1995). Over the ages of the stars studied here, even with such a weak wind, enough mass can be delivered to the circumstellar environment to be consistent with our observations, although it does need to be retained relatively close to the star. Our stars do not show any hydrogen emission lines in their optical or infrared spectra, but as rapidly rotating early-type stars, they may be able to produce an irregular circumstellar disk from stellar winds.

Due to the similarity in signatures of gas disks in  $\beta$  Pic–like debris disks and Be star–like stellar wind disks, it is important to keep in mind that it is difficult to distinguish the two based on gas absorption lines alone. In a study of rapidly rotating (i.e., edge-on) A stars similar to our sample, Abt et al. (1997) find that ~25% of their stars show Ti II absorption. This is a similar ratio as found

for A stars with debris disks at 24  $\mu$ m (~32%; Su et al. 2006). Abt et al. (1997) argue that the observed material cannot be remnants of star formation because they do not observe absorption at all three epochs (spanning a total of 22 yr) in three of their seven Ti II absorption stars. However, it is plausible that the mechanism causing variability in debris disks, such as  $\beta$  Pic, can be dramatic enough to result in nondetections of gas absorption, particularly in weak sources, as two of their three variable Ti II absorption stars are. Although the similarity of detection fraction in these two studies may be a coincidence, it would be interesting to search for IR excesses around these Ti II absorbers to look for any remnants of protostellar dust.

Detections of dust around our stars that have variable circumstellar gas absorption would have strengthened their identification as debris disk systems rather than stellar outflow disks. However, IR excess nondetections leave the origin of the observed gas disks an open question. The upper limits on the fractional IR luminosity could still be consistent with a debris disk, albeit with much less dust than debris disks like  $\beta$  Pic, but still comparable to other *Spitzer* debris disks (Chen et al. 2006). At the same time, if there is actually little or no dust in these systems, it is quite possible that the origin of the variable circumstellar gas disk is stellar winds. Expanding the sample and further monitoring of the gas content in edge-on systems will help resolve this issue.

# 7. CONCLUSIONS

We present *Spitzer* infrared photometry and spectroscopy together with high-resolution optical spectra of four nearby stars that have variable or anomalous optical absorption suspected to be due to circumstellar material. Our findings include the following:

1. The optical atomic absorption transitions of Ca II and Na I were monitored toward all four stars at high spectral resolution. The observational baseline was more than 2.8 yr. Absorption-line variability was detected in three of four targets:  $\beta$  Car showed variability in Na I, while strong Ca II variability was detected toward HD 85905 and HR 10. Our observations add to previous studies of these same targets by other researchers, which now extends the observed baseline to more than 20 yr.

2. Night-to-night variability is detected toward HD 85905 and HR 10. Although similar to the short-term variability detected

TABLE 16		
CONSTRAINTS ON MOLECULAR HYDROGEN LINE FLUXES	FROM	IRS

Source	$H_{1}$ S(0) 28 221 µm	$H_{1}$ S(1) 17 035 µm	$H_{1}$ S(2) 12 270 µm	H S(2) 0.665 um	$M_{\mathrm{H}_2}~S(0)~(M_\oplus)$		$M_{\mathrm{H}_2}~S(1)~(M_\oplus)$	
	(ergs s <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> )	50 K	100 K	50 K	100 K			
α Oph	<8.76E-15	<5.86E-14	<2.60E-13	<3.91E-13	<200	<2	<3E+05	<20
$\beta$ Car	<8.57E-15	<6.51E-14	<2.90E-13	<4.36E-13	<1000	<10	<2E+06	<100
HD 85905	<1.45E-15	<1.26E-15	<5.08E-15	<7.22E-15			<5E+05	<40
HR 10	<1.07E-15	<1.75E-15	<6.54E-15	<8.89E-15			<1E+06	$<\!\!80$

toward  $\beta$  Pic, the magnitude and frequency of the variations are lower toward HD 85905 and HR 10.

3. The fraction of the circumstellar absorption that is redshifted relative to the radial velocity of the star ranges from 0% to 100%. Unlike  $\beta$  Pic, the distribution of variable absorption toward our targets is not heavily skewed to the red.

4. Minisurveys (five to seven stars) of the LISM were conducted within  $10^{\circ}$  of each primary target. We restricted our sample to stars as close in distance as possible to our primary targets in order to avoid contamination by more distant interstellar material.

5. In the direction of  $\alpha$  Oph, we firmly identified the LISM material that causes the anomalously high absorption seen and thereby show that circumstellar material is not responsible for the observed absorption. In particular, HR 6594, the nearest star to  $\alpha$  Oph and only 35.5 pc away, shows comparable absorption in Ca II and Na I. Absorption levels drop off rapidly, indicating a small and possibly filamentary LISM structure in that direction. The lack of variability and the extremely constraining IR excess measurements support the lack of circumstellar material around  $\alpha$  Oph.

6. The LISM in the direction of the other three targets,  $\beta$  Car, HD 85905, and HR 10, is responsible for little to none of the observed absorption. Only the constant Na 1 feature seen toward HD 85905 may be caused by material in the Local Bubble shell and unrelated to the circumstellar material around HD 85905.

7. The Ca II-to-Na I ratio is measured for all stars. Only HR 10 shows an extremely high ratio, consistent with some of the high values seen toward  $\beta$  Pic. The other targets show levels that are high but not inconsistent with LISM and circumstellar measurements. Unless  $N(\text{Ca II})/N(\text{Na I}) \gg 10$ , the variation in the interstellar ratio makes it difficult to use this ratio alone to determine if the absorbing material is circumstellar or interstellar. In this respect, the observed targets differ significantly from  $\beta$  Pic, which shows a strong IR excess and stable gas absorption component.

8. We search for IR excesses with *Spitzer* in all four stars that have shown variable or anomalous optical absorption. We do not detect any significant IR excesses in IRAC or MIPS photometry or IRS spectroscopy, in any of the targets. This is consistent with no detection of a stable gas component at rest in the stellar reference frame.

 Sensitive measurements of the IR SEDs provide strong constraints on the maximum possible dust luminosities (i.e., consistent with the *Spitzer* upper limits at the longest IR wavelengths) of these systems. Fractional luminosity upper limits range from  $1.7 \times 10^{-6}$  to  $6.1 \times 10^{-6}$  and are several orders of magnitude lower than measured for  $\beta$  Pic, despite that the gas absorption line column densities are only slightly lower than those observed toward  $\beta$  Pic.

10. No molecular hydrogen lines are detected in the IRS spectra, nor are any atomic transitions detected. Limits on the integrated line fluxes for important transitions are provided.

11. We estimate upper limits to the mass of the variable gas component causing the optical atomic absorption that range from  $0.4 \times 10^{-8}$  to  $20 \times 10^{-8} M_{\oplus}$ . Combined with the nondetection and tight constraints on any dust in these systems, the source of the variable gas component remains an open question. If evaporation of small star-grazing objects is responsible for the variable gas absorption, they are not contributing significantly to any dusty debris disk.

S. R. acknowledges support provided by NASA through Hubble Fellowship grant HST-HF-01190.01 awarded by the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., for NASA, under contract NAS 5-26555. J. E. K.-S. is supported by the Spitzer Fellowship Program, provided by NASA through contract 1256316, issued by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under NASA contract 1407. This work is based in part on observations made with the Spitzer Space *Telescope*, which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology under a contract with NASA. Support for this work was provided by NASA through an award issued by JPL/Caltech. We thank David Doss at McDonald Observatory and Stuart Ryder at the Anglo-Australian Observatory for their valuable assistance in acquiring the high-resolution optical spectra. We are grateful for the insightful comments and discussions with Christine Chen, Aki Roberge, and Paul Harvey. The helpful comments by the anonymous referee were much appreciated. This research has made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System Bibliographic Services. This research has made use of the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France.

Facilities: Spitzer (IRAC, MIPS, MIPS SED, IRS), Smith (CS12, CS21, CS23), AAT (UHRF)

# REFERENCES

- Abt, H. A., & Morrell, N. I. 1995, ApJS, 99, 135
- Abt, H. A., Tan, H., & Zhou, H. 1997, ApJ, 487, 365
- Alonso, A., Arribas, S., & Martinez-Roger, C. 1994, A&AS, 107, 365
- Aumann, H. H. 1985, PASP, 97, 885
- Babel, J. 1995, A&A, 301, 823
- Backman, D. E., & Paresce, F. 1993, in Protostars and Planets III, ed. E. H. Levy & J. I. Lunine (Tucson: Univ. Arizona Press), 1253
- Barrado y Navascues, D. 1998, A&A, 339, 831
- Beeckmans, F. 1977, A&A, 60, 1
- Beichman, C. A., et al. 2006, ApJ, 639, 1166
- Bertelli, G., Bressan, A., Chiosi, C., Fagotto, F., & Nasi, E. 1994, A&AS, 106, 275
- Bertin, P., Lallement, R., Ferlet, R., & Vidal-Madjar, A. 1993, A&A, 278, 549
- Beust, H. 1994, in Circumstellar Dust Disks and Planet Formation, ed. R. Ferlet & A. Vidal-Madjar (Gif-sur-Yvette: Editions Frontières), 35
- Beust, H., & Morbidelli, A. 2000, Icarus, 143, 170
- Bevington, P. R., & Robinson, D. K. 1992, Data Reduction and Error Analysis for the Physical Sciences (2nd ed.; New York: McGraw-Hill)
- Bouchet, P., Schmider, F. X., & Manfroid, J. 1991, A&AS, 91, 409
- Brandeker, A., Liseau, R., Olofsson, G., & Fridlund, M. 2004, A&A, 413, 681
- Bryden, G., et al. 2006, ApJ, 636, 1098
- Chen, C. H., et al. 2005, ApJ, 634, 1372
- ------. 2006, ApJS, 166, 351

- Cheng, K.-P., Bruhweiler, F. C., Kondo, Y., & Grady, C. A. 1992, ApJ, 396, L83
- Code, A. D., Bless, R. C., Davis, J., & Brown, R. H. 1976, ApJ, 203, 417
- Crawford, I. A. 2001, MNRAS, 327, 841
- Crawford, I. A., Beust, H., & Lagrange, A.-M. 1998, MNRAS, 294, L31
- Crawford, I. A., & Dunkin, S. K. 1995, MNRAS, 273, 219
- Crawford, I. A., Spyromilio, J., Barlow, M. J., Diego, F., & Lagrange, A. M. 1994, MNRAS, 266, L65
- Dermott, S. F., Durda, D. D., Grogan, K., & Kehoe, T. J. J. 2002, in Asteroids III, ed. W. F. Bootke, Jr. et al. (Tucson: Univ. Arizona Press), 423
- Diego, F. 1993, Appl. Opt., 32, 6284
- Diego, F., et al. 1995, MNRAS, 272, 323
- Dole, H., et al. 2004, ApJS, 154, 93
- Evans, N. J., et al. 2007, Final Delivery of Data from the c2d Legacy Project: IRAC and MIPS (Pasadena: SSC)
- Fazio, G. G., et al. 2004, ApJS, 154, 10
- Ferlet, R., Vidal-Madjar, A., & Hobbs, L. M. 1987, A&A, 185, 267
- Flower, P. J. 1996, ApJ, 469, 355
- Freudling, W., Lagrange, A.-M., Vidal-Madjar, A., Ferlet, R., & Forveille, T. 1995, A&A, 301, 231
- Gomes, R., Levison, H. F., Tsiganis, K., & Morbidelli, A. 2005, Nature, 435, 466
- Gorti, U., & Hollenbach, D. 2004, ApJ, 613, 424

- Grenier, S., Burnage, R., Faraggiana, R., Gerbaldi, M., Delmas, F., Gómez, A. E., Sabas, V., & Sharif, L. 1999, A&AS, 135, 503
- Harvey, P., et al. 2004, BAAS, 36, 726
- Hauschildt, P. H., Allard, F., & Baron, E. 1999, ApJ, 512, 377
- Heap, S. R., Lindler, D. J., Lanz, T. M., Cornett, R. H., Hubeny, I., Maran, S. P., & Woodgate, B. 2000, ApJ, 539, 435
- Hempel, M., & Schmitt, J. H. M. M. 2003, A&A, 408, 971
- Hobbs, L. M. 1986, ApJ, 308, 854
- Hobbs, L. M., Vidal-Madjar, A., Ferlet, R., Albert, C. E., & Gry, C. 1985, ApJ, 293, L29
- Hobbs, L. M., Welty, D. E., Lagrange-Henri, A. M., Ferlet, R., & Vidal-Madjar, A. 1988, ApJ, 334, L41
- Holweger, H., Hempel, M., & Kamp, I. 1999, A&A, 350, 603
- Houck, J. R., et al. 2004, ApJS, 154, 18
- Jenkins, E. B., & Tripp, T. M. 2001, ApJS, 137, 297
- Jones, G. H., Balogh, A., & Horbury, T. S. 2000, Nature, 404, 574
- Kalas, P., Liu, M. C., & Matthews, B. C. 2004, Science, 303, 1990
- Kondo, Y., & Bruhweiler, F. C. 1985, ApJ, 291, L1
- Lagrange, A.-M., Backman, D. E., & Artymowicz, P. 2000, in Protostars and Planets IV, ed. V. Mannings, A. P. Boss, & S. S. Russell (Tucson: Univ. Arizona Press), 639
- Lagrange-Henri, A. M., Beust, H., Ferlet, R., Vidal-Madjar, A., & Hobbs, L. M. 1990a, A&A, 227, L13
- Lagrange-Henri, A. M., Ferlet, R., Vidal-Madjar, A., Beust, H., Gry, C., & Lallement, R. 1990b, A&AS, 85, 1089
- Lallement, R., Bertin, P., Chassefiere, E., & Scott, N. 1993, A&A, 271, 734
- Lallement, R., Vidal-Madjar, A., & Ferlet, R. 1986, A&A, 168, 225
- Lallement, R., Welsh, B. Y., Vergely, J. L., Crifo, F., & Sfeir, D. 2003, A&A, 411, 447
- Levato, H., & Malaroda, S. 1970, PASP, 82, 741
- Makovoz, D., & Khan, I. 2005, in ASP Conf. Ser. 347, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XIV, ed. P. Shopbell, M. Britton, & R. Ebert (San Francisco: ASP), 81
- Malagnini, M. L., Morossi, C., Rossi, L., & Kurucz, R. L. 1986, A&A, 162, 140
- Mann, I., Köhler, M., Kimura, H., Cechowski, A., & Minato, T. 2006, A&A Rev., 13, 159
- Napiwotzki, R., Schoenberner, D., & Wenske, V. 1993, A&A, 268, 653
- Petterson, O. K. L., & Tobin, W. 1999, MNRAS, 304, 733
- Porter, J. M., & Rivinius, T. 2003, PASP, 115, 1153
- Redfield, S. 2006, in ASP Conf. Ser. 352, New Horizons in Astronomy, Frank N. Bash Symposium 2005, ed. S. J. Kannappan et al. (San Francisco: ASP), 70
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2007, ApJ, 656, L97
- Redfield, S., & Linsky, J. L. 2001, ApJ, 551, 413
- ——. 2002, ApJS, 139, 439

- Redfield, S., & Linsky, J. L. 2004, ApJ, 613, 1004
- ——. 2007, ApJ, submitted
- Richichi, A., Percheron, I., & Khristoforova, M. 2005, A&A, 431, 773
- Rieke, G. H., et al. 2004, ApJS, 154, 25
- Roberge, A., Feldman, P. D., Lecavelier des Etangs, A., Vidal-Madjar, A., Deleuil, M., Bouret, J.-C., Ferlet, R., & Moos, H. W. 2002, ApJ, 568, 343 Roberge, A., Feldman, P. D., Weinberger, A. J., Deleuil, M., & Bouret, J.-C.
- 2006, Nature, 441, 724 Roberge, A., Weinberger, A. J., Redfield, S., & Feldman, P. D. 2005, ApJ, 626,
- L105
- Savage, B. D., & Sembach, K. R. 1991, ApJ, 379, 245
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1996, ARA&A, 34, 279
- Schechter, P. L., Mateo, M., & Saha, A. 1993, PASP, 105, 1342
- Schneider, G., Silverstone, M. D., & Hines, D. C. 2005, ApJ, 629, L117
- Silverstone, M. D., et al. 2006, ApJ, 639, 1138
- Slettebak, A. 1975, ApJ, 197, 137
- ——. 1988, PASP, 100, 770
- Smith, B. A., & Terrile, R. J. 1984, Science, 226, 1421
- Spitzer Science Center. 2006, Spitzer Space Telescope Multiband Imaging Photometer for Spitzer (MIPS) Data Handbook Version 3.2.1 (Pasadena: SSC)
- Stern, S. A. 1996, A&A, 310, 999
- Su, K. Y. L., et al. 2006, ApJ, 653, 675
- Thébault, P., & Beust, H. 2001, A&A, 376, 621
- Tody, D. 1993, in ASP Conf. Ser. 52, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems II, ed. R. J. Hanisch, R. J. V. Brissenden, & J. Barnes (San Francisco: ASP), 173
- Tull, R. G. 1972, in Proc. ESO/CERN Conference on Auxiliary Instrumentation for Large Telescopes (Geneva: ESO), 259
- Tull, R. G., MacQueen, P. J., Sneden, C., & Lambert, D. L. 1995, PASP, 107, 251
- Vidal-Madjar, A., Ferlet, R., Hobbs, L. M., Gry, C., & Albert, C. E. 1986, A&A, 167, 325
- Vidal-Madjar, A., Lecavelier des Etangs, A., & Ferlet, R. 1998, Planet. Space Sci., 46, 629
- Vidal-Madjar, A., et al. 1994, A&A, 290, 245
- Welsh, B. Y., Craig, N., Crawford, I. A., & Price, R. J. 1998, A&A, 338, 674
- Welsh, B. Y., Craig, N., Jelinsky, S., & Sasseen, T. 1997, A&A, 321, 888
- Welsh, B. Y., Craig, N., Vedder, P. W., & Vallerga, J. V. 1994, ApJ, 437, 638
- Welsh, B. Y., Sfeir, D. M., Sirk, M. M., & Lallement, R. 1999, A&A, 352, 308
- Welty, D. E., Hobbs, L. M., & Kulkarni, V. P. 1994, ApJ, 436, 152
- Welty, D. E., Morton, D. C., & Hobbs, L. M. 1996, ApJS, 106, 533
- Werner, M. W., et al. 2004, ApJS, 154, 1
- Whipple, F. L. 1987, A&A, 187, 852
- Wolniewicz, L., Simbotin, I., & Dalgarno, A. 1998, ApJS, 115, 293
- Zuckerman, B. 2001, ARA&A, 39, 549